arXiv:1608.01504v2 [math.AG] 29 Dec 2016

# STRATIFICATIONS OF FLAG SPACES AND FUNCTORIALITY

WUSHI GOLDRING, JEAN-STEFAN KOSKIVIRTA

Abstract. We define stacks of zip flags, which form towers above the stack of $G$-zips of Moonen, Pink, Wedhorn and Ziegler in [MW04], [PWZ11] and [PWZ15]. We define a stratification on the stack of zip flags, and prove principal purity under certain assumptions. We generalize flag spaces of Ekedahl-Van der Geer in [EvdG09] and relate them to stacks of zip flags. For large $p$, we show that strata are affine. We prove that morphisms with central kernel between stacks of G-zips have discrete fibers. This allows us to prove principal purity of the zip stratification for maximal-type zip data. This provides a new proof of the existence of Hasse invariants for Ekedahl-Oort strata of good reduction Shimura varieties of Hodge-type, first proved in [GK15].

## Introduction

In this paper we investigate generalized group-theoretical Hasse invariants on the Ekedahl-Oort and related stratifications. As illustrated in [GK15], they have many applications to the coherent cohomology of Shimura varieties.

**Background on the Ekedahl-Oort stratification.** In [Moo01], Ben Moonen classified truncated Barsotti-Tate groups of level 1 (in short BT1) over an algebraically closed field $k$ of caracteristic $p$, endowed with additional structure such as polarization and an action by endomorphisms of a semi-simple $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebra. To such an object, one can attach a type, which is a conjugacy class $X$ of parabolic subgroups of the reductive group $G$ attached to the additional structure. The isomorphism classes of BT1's over $k$ with additional structure of type $X$ are then parametrized by left cosets $W_X\backslash W$, where $W$ denotes the Weyl group of $G$.

The notion of $F$-zips was introduced by Moonen and Wedhorn in [MW04], and developped later by Pink, Wedhorn and Ziegler in [PWZ11] and [PWZ15] under the name $G$-zip, referring to the reductive group $G$. Basically, $F$-zips and $G$-zips are linear algebra objects, and the category of $F$-zips over a perfect field is equivalent to the category of BT1's. Furthermore, over an arbitrary base, a BT1 gives naturally rise to an $F$-zip. Therefore, one might think of an $F$-zip as a linearization of a BT1, in similar fashion as the Lie algebra.

In this paper, a zip datum of exponent 1 is a tuple $\mathcal{Z} = (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi)$ where $G$ is a (geometrically connected) reductive group over $\mathbf{F}_p$, $\varphi : G \to G$ is the Frobenius homomorphism, $P, Q$ are parabolic subgroups with respective Levi subgroups $L, M$ such that $\varphi(L) = M$. To such a datum, one associates (in a functorial way) a finite algebraic stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ called the stack of $G$-zips of type $\mathcal{Z}$. In particular, given a

W.G. : Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Sweden
J.-S. K. : Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London
*E-mail address*: wushijig@gmail.com, jeanstefan.koskivirta@gmail.com.

reductive $\mathbf{F}_p$-group $G$ and a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbf{G}_{m,k} \to G_k$ (where $k$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_p$), one can attach naturally a zip datum $\mathcal{Z}_\mu$.

The stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ has an easy realization as a quotient stack $[E_{\mathcal{Z}}\backslash G]$, where $E_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a certain subgroup of $G \times G$, called the zip group (Proposition 3.11, [PWZ15]). The underlying topological space of $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ is homeomorphic to a certain subset ${}^I W$ of $W$. The topology is induced by a certain order on ${}^I W$, usually different from the restriction of the Bruhat order of $W$ (Proposition 2.1, *loc. cit.*). The stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ is stratified by the $E_{\mathcal{Z}}$-orbits in $G$.

The stack of $G$-zips can be used to derive results on the special fiber of good reduction Shimura varieties of Hodge-type. Specifically, let $(\mathbb{G}, X)$ be a Shimura datum of Hodge-type, as defined in [Del77, §1.3], where $\mathbb{G}$ is a reductive group over $\mathbf{Q}$. Let $Sh_K(\mathbb{G}, X)$ be the Shimura variety at a level $K \subset \mathbb{G}(\mathbf{A}_f)$, and assume that $Sh_K(\mathbb{G}, X)$ has good reduction at a fixed prime lying above the prime number $p$. In particular $\mathbb{G}$ has a smooth reductive model over $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and we denote by $G$ its special fiber over $\mathbf{F}_p$. Then there exists a smooth canonical integral model $\mathcal{S}_K := \mathcal{S}_K(\mathbb{G}, X)$, constructed by Vasiu ([Vas99]) and Kisin ([Kis10]). Denote by $S_K$ its special fiber. By [VW13] (2.2) and [Zha] §3.1, there exists a morphism of stacks

$$\zeta : S_K \longrightarrow G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mu}$$

where $\mu$ is conjugacy class of cocharacters modulo $p$, attached naturally to $X$. The fibers of $\zeta$ are called the Ekedahl-Oort strata of $S_K$. In the usual PEL-setting, they correspond to isomorphism classes of BT1's with additional PEL-structure. In PEL cases, Viehmann and Wedhorn proved in [VW13] that $\zeta$ is faithfully flat and surjective. In his thesis [Zha], Zhang established the smoothness of $\zeta$ in the general Hodge-type case, and derived the stratification property for the Ekedahl-Oort strata of $S_K$.

**Stack of zip flags and stratifications.** In the work of Ekedahl and Van der Geer [EvdG09], the authors consider full flags inside the de Rham cohomology $H^1_{dR}(A/S)$ of an abelian scheme $A$ over a scheme $S$, which refine the Hodge filtration. This construction yields a moduli space $\mathcal{F}_g$, called the "flag space", and a morphism $\mathcal{F}_g \to \mathcal{A}_g$, where $\mathcal{A}_g$ denotes the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension $g$. Inspired by this work, the authors introduced in [GK15] a group theoretic version of the special fiber of the flag space of Ekedahl-Van der Geer, which we called the stack of $G$-zip flags. Roughly speaking, this stack classifies $G$-zips endowed with an additional compatible $B$-torsor, where $B$ is a Borel subgroup of $G$. This $B$-torsor corresponds to a full flag refining the Hodge filtration in the language of Ekedahl-Van der Geer.

In this new paper, we work more generally with a $P_0$-torsor, for an arbitrary parabolic $P_0$ (equivalently, we study arbitrary flags refining the Hodge filtration). More precisely, given a zip datum $\mathcal{Z} = (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi^n)$ and a parabolic $P_0 \subset P$, we define the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ of $G$-zip flags of type $(\mathcal{Z}, P_0)$. We call the pair $(\mathcal{Z}, P_0)$ a flagged zip datum. There is a natural morphism of stacks:

$$\pi_{P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}} .$$

We define coarse and fine stratifications of $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ in sections 2.2 and 3 respectively. The fine stratification is defined naturally as fibers of a second morphism of stacks $\Psi_{P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \longrightarrow G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ where $\mathcal{Z}_0 = (G, P_0, L_0, Q_0, M_0, \varphi^n)$

is a a certain zip datum (see subsection 3.1). In general, the zip datum $\mathcal{Z}_0$ is not attached to a Shimura datum unless $P_0 = P$. Hence the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ does not have a good counterpart on the Shimura side, which makes the case for a group-theoretic approach.

**Principal purity of strata.** Recall that a stratification on a scheme (or stack) $X$ is principally pure if each stratum $S \subset X$ is the non-vanishing locus of a section of a line bundle on the Zariski closure $\overline{S}$. We call such a section a characteristic section for $S$. The following question is central to this work:

**Question.** *For which zip data $\mathcal{Z}$ is the zip stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ principally pure? More generally, for which $(\mathcal{Z}, P_0)$ is the fine stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ principally pure?*

In general, the zip stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ is not principally pure. An example is given in section 4.4.

However, under certain assumptions, we can prove an even stronger result. We say that a stratification of a scheme (or stack) is uniformly principally pure if there exists a line bundle that admits characteristic sections for all strata. For a character $\lambda \in X^*(L_0)$, we define properties "ample" and "orbitally $q$-close" (see Definition 4.2.2), and we prove the following result:

**Theorem 1** (Theorem 4.3.3)**.** *Let $(\mathcal{Z}, P_0)$ be a flagged zip datum of exponent $n$ and write $q = p^n$. If there exists an ample, orbitally $q$-close character of $L_0$, then the fine stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ is uniformly principally pure.*

We now consider two zip data $\mathcal{Z}_i = (G_i, P_i, L_i, Q_i, M_i, \varphi^n)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ of exponent $n$ and a morphism $f : \mathcal{Z}_1 \to \mathcal{Z}_2$ of zip data (see section 1.1). By functoriality (see Lemma 1.5.3), it induces a morphism of stacks $\tilde{f} : G_1\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_1} \to G_2\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_2}$. We prove the following:

**Theorem 2** (Theorem 5.1.1)**.** *Let $f$ be a morphism with central kernel (e.g. a finite morphism). Then the attached morphism of stacks*

$$\tilde{f} : G_1\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_1} \to G_2\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_2}$$

*has discrete fibers on the underlying topological spaces.*

Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are closely related. To prove the latter, we use the former as a key ingredient. Conversely, Theorem 2 has consequences for the existence of characteristic sections for maximal-type zip data, and in particular for Hodge-type zip data (Definition 1.4.3).

We say that a cocharacter datum $(G, \mu)$ is of maximal-type if there exists a representation $r : G \to GL(V)$ with central kernel such that $\mu \circ r$ has exactly two weights (this implies that the parabolic subgroup of $GL(V)$ attached to $r \circ \mu$ is maximal). A zip datum $\mathcal{Z}$ is called of maximal-type if $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ for a maximal-type cocharacter datum $(G, \mu)$. We prove the following:

**Corollary 1** (Corollary 5.2.2)**.** *Let $(G, \mu)$ be a cocharacter datum of maximal-type. Then the zip stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mu}$ is uniformly principally pure.*

This gives another proof of the existence of Hasse invariants for good reduction Shimura varieties of Hodge-type, first proved in [GK15]. In *loc. cit.* Corollary 3.1.3, we proved the special case of Corollary 1, when $(G, \mu)$ arises by reduction modulo

$p$ from a Shimura datum of Hodge-type. The proof in *loc. cit.* used the methods of [Del77] to show that the Hodge bundle is orbitally $p$-close. Here we do not use characteristic zero methods and we do not need a case-by-case examination as in [GK15].

**Flag spaces.** In [GK15], we generalized the construction of flag spaces of Ekedahl-Van der Geer in [EvdG09] to arbitrary Hodge-type Shimura varieties. Here we generalize this construction further to arbitrary parabolics. We define a space $\mathcal{F}l_K$, whose special fiber $Fl_K$ fits in a cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Fl_K & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{P_0}} & G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{P_0}} \\ S_K & \xrightarrow[\zeta]{} & G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}} \end{array}$$

The maps $\pi_{P_0}$ and $\pi$ are $P/P_0$-fiber bundles. We define fine flag strata of $Fl_K$ as the preimages by $\zeta_{P_0}$ of those in $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$.

**Theorem 3** (see Theorem 6.4.3)**.** *There exists an integer $N$ depending on $\mathbb{G}, X$ and $K$ such that for all $p \geq N$, the Shimura variety $Sh_K(\mathbb{G}, X)$ has good reduction at all places $\mathfrak{p}|p$ and the following holds:*

*(1) The fine stratification of $Fl_K$ is uniformly principally pure.*
*(2) If the closure $\overline{S}$ of a fine flag stratum $S \subset Fl_K$ is proper, then $S$ is affine.*

This generalizes a result of Ekedahl-Van der Geer in the Siegel case ([EvdG09], Proposition 10.5). Note that the condition (2) is satisfied if $S_K$ is proper.

**Outline.** In §1 we give a review of the theory of $G$-zips, define cocharacter data and zip data, and explain the relation between them. We also define cocharacter data of maximal-type and Hodge-type. Finally, we recall basic facts on the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$, and give a parametrization of the strata.

In §2, we introduce the stack of $G$-zip flags, give a representation as a quotient stack and define coarse flag strata.

§3 is devoted to the definition of fine flag strata. The important notion of minimal and cominimal is defined.

In §4, we study sections of line bundles on fine flag strata and zip strata. In particular, we prove Theorem 4.3.3. We give a counter-example to principal purity.

Some aspects of functoriality are investigated in §5. The main results are Theorem 5.1.1 on discreteness of fibers and Corollary 5.2.2 for maximal-type zip data.

We look at some applications to Shimura varieties in §6, where we define generalized flag spaces and their stratifications, and finally we prove Theorem 6.4.3.

In the appendix, we recall briefly some facts about morphisms of quotient stacks.


## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Torsten Wedhorn for very stimulating discussions and advice. We are grateful to Benoît Stroh for important ideas that influenced some aspects of the paper. Also, we thank Paul Ziegler for helpful discussions and correspondence.

## 1. Review of $G$-Zips

1.1. **The category of zip data.** In this paper, a *zip datum* of exponent $n$ is a tuple $\mathcal{Z} = (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi^n)$, where $G$ is a reductive group over $\mathbf{F}_p$, $\varphi : G \to G$ the relative Frobenius morphism, $P, Q \subset G_k$ parabolics (not necessarily defined over $\mathbf{F}_p$) with Levi subgroups $L \subset P$ and $M \subset Q$, and $n \geq 1$ an integer. We impose the condition $M = \varphi^n(L)$. Hence the $p^n$-Frobenius map restricts to $\varphi^n : L \to M$.

Zip data of exponent $n$ form a category $\mathscr{D}_n$, where morphisms are defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{Z}_i = (G, P_i, L_i, Q_i, M_i, \varphi^n)$ for $i = 1, 2$ two zip data of exponent $n$, and denote by $U_i \subset P_i$ and $V_i \subset Q_i$ the unipotent radicals. A morphism of zip data $\mathcal{Z}_1 \to \mathcal{Z}_2$ is a group homomorphism $f : G_1 \to G_2$ (defined over $\mathbf{F}_p$) satisfying the conditions

$$f(\square_1) \subseteq \square_2 \text{ for } \square = P, L, Q, M, U, V. \tag{1.1.1}$$

For a twisted zip datum $\mathcal{Z} = (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi^n)$ and $g \in G(k)$, we define a zip datum

$${}^g\mathcal{Z} := (G, {}^gP, {}^gL, {}^{\varphi^n(g)}Q, {}^{\varphi^n(g)}M, \varphi^n).$$

1.2. **Cocharacter data.** Let $K$ be a field with a fixed algebraic closure $\overline{K}$. We define the category of *cocharacter data* over a field $K$ as the category of pairs $(G, \mu)$ where $G$ is a reductive group over $K$ and $\mu : \mathbf{G}_{m,\overline{K}} \to G_{\overline{K}}$ is a cocharacter.

The category of cocharacter data is denoted by $\mathscr{D}^{\mathrm{co}}$. Morphisms of cocharacter data $(G_1, \mu_1) \to (G_2, \mu_2)$ are group homomorphisms $f : G_1 \to G_2$ (defined over $K$) satisfying $\mu_2 = f \circ \mu_1$.

Let $(G, \mu)$ be a cocharacter datum. The cocharacter $\mu$ gives rise to a pair of opposite parabolics $(P_-, P_+)$ and a Levi subgroup $L := P_- \cap P_+$. The Lie algebra of the parabolic $P_-$ (resp. $P_+$) is the sum of the non-positive (resp. non-negative) weight spaces of Ad $\circ \mu$. More precisely, $P_+(\overline{K})$ consists of elements $g \in G(\overline{K})$ such that the limit

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \mu(t) g \mu(t)^{-1} \tag{1.2.1}$$

exists, i.e such that the map $\mathbf{G}_{m,\overline{K}} \to G_{\overline{K}}$, $t \mapsto \mu(t) g \mu(t)^{-1}$ extends to a morphism of varieties $\mathbf{A}^1_{\overline{K}} \to G_{\overline{K}}$. The unipotent radical of $P_+$ is the set of such elements $g$ for which this limit is $1 \in G(\overline{K})$.

When $K = \mathbf{F}_p$, we can define $P := P_-$, $Q := \varphi^n(P_+)$ and $M := \varphi^n(L)$. The tuple $\mathcal{Z}_\mu := (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi^n)$ is then a zip datum of exponent $n$. We call it the zip datum of exponent $n$ attached to the cocharacter datum $(G, \mu)$. We will mainly consider zip data that arise in this way.

**Proposition 1.2.1.** *The construction above gives rise to a faithful functor*

$$\mathcal{Z}_n : \mathscr{D}^{\mathrm{co}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}_n, \ (G, \mu) \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_\mu \tag{1.2.2}$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from characterization (1.2.1) above. □

1.3. **Frames of zip data.** Let $\mathcal{Z} := (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi^n)$ be a zip datum of exponent $n$. Denote by $\pi_P : P \to L$ and $\pi_Q : Q \to M$ the natural maps given by reduction modulo the unipotent radical. Recall that $G$ is quasi-split over $\mathbf{F}_p$ by Steinberg's Theorem, so there exists a Borel pair $(B, T)$ defined over $\mathbf{F}_p$.

For such a Borel pair, we let $\Phi \subset X^*(T)$ be the set of $T$-roots, $\Phi_+$ the set of positive roots with respect to $B$, and $\Delta \subset \Phi_+$ the set of positive simple roots. For

$\alpha \in \Phi$, let $s_\alpha \in W$ be the corresponding reflection. Then $(W, \{s_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta\})$ is a Coxeter group and we denote by $\ell : W \to \mathbf{N}$ the length function. Let $I \subset \Delta$ (resp. $J \subset \Delta$) be the type of $P$ (resp. $Q$). Let $W_I \subset W$ be the subgroup generated by the $s_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in I$. Let $w_0$ (resp. $w_{0,I}$) be the longest element in $W$ (resp. $W_I$). Denote by ${}^I W$ (resp. $W^J$) the subset of elements $w \in W$ which are minimal in the coset $W_I w$ (resp. $wW_J$). The set ${}^I W$ (resp. $W^J$) is a set of representatives for the quotient $W_I \backslash W$ (resp. $W/W_J$).

**Definition 1.3.1.** *Let $(B, T)$ be a Borel pair and $z \in W(G, T)$. We call $(B, T, z)$ a $W$-frame for $\mathcal{Z}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:*

*(i)* $B, T$ *are defined over* $\mathbf{F}_p$
*(ii)* $B \subset Q$
*(iii)* ${}^z B \subset P$
*(iv)* ${}^z B \cap L \subset B$.

*Remark* 1.3.2.

(1) If $(B, T)$ is a Borel pair defined over $\mathbf{F}_p$, then there exists $z \in W$ such that $(B, T, z)$ is a $W$-frame, by the proof of Proposition 3.7 of [PWZ11].
(2) For any zip datum $\mathcal{Z}$, there exists $g \in G(k)$ such that ${}^g\mathcal{Z}$ admits a $W$-frame.
(3) Similarly, for any cocharacter $\mu$ of $G$, there exists a $G(k)$-conjugate $\mu'$ of $\mu$ such that $\mathcal{Z}_{\mu'}$ admits a $W$-frame.
(4) Let $(B, T, z)$ be a $W$-frame. For $w \in W$, choose a representative $\dot{w} \in N_G(T)$, such that $(w_1 w_2)^{\cdot} = \dot{w}_1 \dot{w}_2$ whenever $\ell(w_1 w_2) = \ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2)$ (this is possible by choosing a Chevalley system, see [ABD+66], Exp. XXIII, §6). Then $(B, T, \dot{z})$ is a frame, as defined in Definition 3.6 of [PWZ11].
(5) If $(B, T, z)$ is a $W$-frame, then $\varphi^n(z)^{-1} \in W^J$. Indeed, one has $({}^{\varphi^n(z)} B) \cap M \subset B$, and this condition characterizes the elements whose inverse lies in $W^J$.

Let $(G, \mu)$ a cocharacter datum and denote by $\mathcal{Z}_\mu = (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi^n)$ its associated zip datum of exponent $n$. Fix a Borel pair $(B, T)$ defined over $\mathbf{F}_p$. In particular, $\mathrm{Gal}(k/\mathbf{F}_p)$ acts on $W$; denote by $\varphi : W \to W$ the action of the geometric Frobenius on $W$. We leave the following easy lemma to the reader:

**Lemma 1.3.3.** *If $B \subset Q$, then $(B, T, w_0 w_{0,I})$ is a $W$-frame for the zip datum $\mathcal{Z}_\mu$.*

1.4. **Cocharacter data of maximal-type.** Let $(G, \mu)$ be a cocharacter datum over $\mathbf{F}_p$. We say that $(G, \mu)$ is of maximal-type if there exists a finite-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_p$-vector space $V$ and representation with central kernel

$$r : G \to GL(V) \tag{1.4.1}$$

over $\mathbf{F}_p$, such that $r_k \circ \mu : \mathbf{G}_{m,k} \to GL(V)_k$ has exactly two weights. Equivalently, there is a morphism of cocharacter data with central kernel $(G, \mu) \to (GL(V), \mu')$ such that $\mu'$ defines a maximal parabolic subgroup in $GL(V)_k$.

**Proposition 1.4.1.** *Let $(G, \mu)$ be a cocharacter datum over $\mathbf{F}_p$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

*(1)* $(G, \mu)$ *is of maximal-type.*
*(2) There exists a finite-dimensional $k$-vector space $W$ and a representation $r' : G_k \to GL(W)$ over $k$ such that $r' \circ \mu$ has only two weights.*

*Proof.* If $r' : G_k \to GL(W)$ is such a representation, there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $r'$ is defined over $\mathbf{F}_{p^m}$. Then the representation

$$r := \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{p^m}/\mathbf{F}_p)} {}^{\sigma} r \tag{1.4.2}$$

can be realized over $\mathbf{F}_p$ because its trace has values in $\mathbf{F}_p$. □

**Corollary 1.4.2.** *Let $(G, \mu)$ be a maximal-type cocharacter datum over $\mathbf{F}_p$. Let $H$ be a reductive group over $\mathbf{F}_p$ and $\theta : G_k \to H_k$ an isomorphism. Then $(H, \theta \circ \mu)$ is of maximal-type.*

Let $(V, \psi)$ be a symplectic space over $\mathbf{F}_p$, and let $GSp(V, \psi)$ be the general symplectic group of $(V, \psi)$. Consider a decomposition $\mathcal{D} : V = W_+ \oplus W_-$ where $W_+$ and $W_-$ are maximal isotropic subspaces, not necessarily defined over $\mathbf{F}_p$. Define a cocharacter $\mu_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathbf{G}_{m,k} \to GSp(V, \psi)$ such that an element $x \in \mathbf{G}_{m,k}$ acts trivially on $W_-$ and acts by multiplication by $x$ on $W_+$. The zip datum of exponent $n$ attached to the cocharacter datum $(GSp(V, \psi), \mu_{\mathcal{D}})$ is $(GSp(V, \psi), P_{\mathcal{D}}, L_{\mathcal{D}}, Q_{\mathcal{D}}.M_{\mathcal{D}}, \varphi^n)$ with:

$$P_{\mathcal{D}} := \mathrm{Stab}_{GSp(V,\psi)}(W^+), \quad P_{\mathcal{D}}^- := \mathrm{Stab}_{GSp(V,\psi)}(W_-), \quad Q_{\mathcal{D}} := \left(P_{\mathcal{D}}^-\right)^{(p^n)},$$

$$L_{\mathcal{D}} := P_{\mathcal{D}} \cap P_{\mathcal{D}}^-, \quad M_{\mathcal{D}} := (L_{\mathcal{D}})^{(p^n)}.$$

Since the group $GSp(V, \psi)$ acts transitively on the set of all decompositions $\mathcal{D}$ of $V$ into maximal isotropic subspaces, all zip data obtained in this way are conjugate over $k$. We call such a zip datum $(GSp(V, \psi), \mu_{\mathcal{D}})$ a Siegel-type zip datum.

**Definition 1.4.3.** *We say that the cocharacter datum $(G, \mu)$ is of Hodge-type if there exists a Siegel-type zip datum $(GSp(V, \psi), \mu_{\mathcal{D}})$ and an embedding of zip data $\iota : (G, \mu) \to (GSp(V, \psi), \mu_{\mathcal{D}})$.*

There is a natural embedding $(GSp(V, \psi), \mu_{\mathcal{D}}) \to (GL(V), \mu_{\mathcal{D}})$, so each Hodge-type cocharacter datum is also of maximal-type. Let $(G, \mu)$ be a maximal-type cocharacter datum over $\mathbf{F}_p$. Choose an $\mathbf{F}_p$-representation $r : G \to GL(V)$ such that $\mu' := r \circ \mu$ has only two weights. We obtain a map of cocharacter data $(G, \mu) \to (GL(V), \mu')$ with central kernel. Denote by $P$ (resp. $P'$) the parabolic subgroup of $G$ (resp. $GL(V)$) attached to $\mu$ (resp. $r \circ \mu$), as in section 1.2. Let $\chi' \in X^*(P')$ be the fundamental weight of $P'$.

**Definition 1.4.4.** *Let $(G, \mu)$ be a maximal-type cocharacter datum over $\mathbf{F}_p$ and $\chi \in X^*(P)$ a character. We say that $\chi$ is of maximal-type if there exists a morphism $\iota : (G, \mu) \to (GL(V), \mu')$ as above such that $\chi := \chi'|_P \in X^*(P)$.*

1.5. **Stack of $G$-zips.** Let $\mathcal{Z} := (G, P, Q, L, M, \varphi^n)$ be a zip datum of exponent $n$. Recall the following definition ([PWZ15], Definition 3.1):

**Definition 1.5.1.** *A $G$-zip of type $\mathcal{Z}$ over a $k$-scheme $S$ is a tuple $\underline{\mathcal{I}} = (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_P, \mathcal{I}_Q, \iota)$ where $\mathcal{I}$ is a $G$-torsor over $S$, $\mathcal{I}_P \subset \mathcal{I}$ is a $P$-torsor over $S$, $\mathcal{I}_Q \subset \mathcal{I}$ is a $Q$-torsor over $S$, and $\iota : (\mathcal{I}_P)^{(p^n)}/R_u(P)^{(p^n)} \to \mathcal{I}_Q/R_u(Q)$ an isomorphism of $M$-torsors.*

The category of $G$-zips of type $\mathcal{Z}$ over $S$ is denoted by $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}(S)$. The $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}(S)$ give rise to a fibered category $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ over the category of $k$-schemes, which is a smooth stack of dimension 0.

For $x \in P$, we write $\overline{x} := \pi_P(x) \in L$, and similarly for elements of $Q$. The zip group is a subgroup of $P \times Q$ defined by:

$$E_{\mathcal{Z}} := \{(x, y) \in P \times Q, \varphi^n(\overline{x}) = \overline{y}\}. \tag{1.5.1}$$

The group $G \times G$ acts naturally on $G$ via $(a, b) \cdot g := agb^{-1}$, and we let $P \times Q$ and $E$ act on $G$ by restricting this action. We can decompose $E_{\mathcal{Z}}$ as a product:

$$L \times U \times V \simeq E_{\mathcal{Z}}, \quad (x, u, v) \longmapsto (xu, \varphi(x)v) \tag{1.5.2}$$

Recall the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.5.2** ([PWZ15], Proposition 3.11)**.** *The stack of $G$-zips of type $\mathcal{Z}$ is isomorphic to the quotient stack $[E_{\mathcal{Z}}\backslash G]$.*

**Lemma 1.5.3.** *The above construction gives rise to a functor*

$$\Re_n : \mathscr{D}_n \longrightarrow (k - \text{Stacks}), \ \mathcal{Z} \mapsto G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}} \tag{1.5.3}$$

*Proof.* Let $(G_1, P_1, L_1, Q_1, M_1, \varphi^n) \to (G_2, P_2, L_2, Q_2, M_2, \varphi^n)$ be a morphism of exponent $n$ zip data. Define

$$\alpha : G_1 \times E_1 \to E_2, \ (g_1, p_1, q_1) \mapsto (f(p_1), f(q_1)) \tag{1.5.4}$$

The fact that $f$ is a morphism of zip data ensures that $\alpha$ is well-defined. Conditions 7.2.1 and 7.2.2 of section 7 are satisfied, hence $\alpha$ induces a morphism of stacks $[E_1\backslash G_1] \to [E_2\backslash G_2]$. □

By composition, we obtain a family of functors $\Re_n \circ \mathcal{Z}_n$ from $\mathscr{D}^{\mathrm{co}}$ to the category of $k$-stacks. We call the stack attached in this way to the cocharacter datum $(G, \mu)$ the stack of $G$-zips of type $\mu$ (and exponent $n$), and we denote it simply by $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mu}_n$. For $n = 1$, we denote it simply by $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mu}$.

**Proposition 1.5.4.**

*(1) Let $\mu$ be a cocharacter of $G_k$ and $\mu' = \mathrm{ad}(g) \circ \mu$ for some $g \in G(k)$. Then the $k$-stacks $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mu}_n$ and $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mu'}_n$ are isomorphic.*

*(2) More generally, let $\mathcal{Z} = (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi^n)$ be a zip datum, and $g \in G(k)$. Then the $k$-stacks $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{{}^{g}\mathcal{Z}}$ are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* It is easy to check that the map $G \to G$ given by $x \mapsto gx\varphi^n(g)^{-1}$ induces an isomorphism of stacks. □

1.6. **Stratification.** Let $\mathcal{Z} = (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi^n)$ be a zip datum, and let $(B, T, g)$ be a frame of $\mathcal{Z}$ (see Definition 3.6 of [PWZ11], see also Remark 1.3.2 (4) above). If $h \in G(k)$ is an element of the group, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(h)$ the $E$-orbit of $h$ in $G$. Similarly, we write $o_{\mathcal{Z}}(h) := [E_{\mathcal{Z}}\backslash\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(h)]$ for the corresponding $k$-point in $[E_{\mathcal{Z}}\backslash G]$. The parametrization of zip strata is given by Theorem 7.5 in *loc. cit.* : the map

$$ {}^{I}W \to \{E_{\mathcal{Z}}\text{-orbits in } G\}, \quad w \mapsto G^w := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(g\dot{w}) \tag{1.6.1}$$

defines a bijection between the set ${}^{I}W$ and the set of $E_{\mathcal{Z}}$-orbits in $G$. There is a dual parametrization (§11 in *loc. cit.*) given by a bijection:

$$W^{J} \to \{E_{\mathcal{Z}}\text{-orbits in } G\}, \quad w \mapsto G'^{w} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(g\dot{w}). \tag{1.6.2}$$

Furthermore, one has the following dimension formulas:

$$\dim(G^w) = \ell(w) + \dim(P) \quad \text{for all } w \in {}^{I}W \tag{1.6.3}$$

$$\dim(G'^{w}) = \ell(w) + \dim(P) \quad \text{for all } w \in W^{J}. \tag{1.6.4}$$

## 2. The stack of zip flags

Let $\mathcal{Z} = (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi^n)$ be a zip datum and set $q := p^n$. We will assume that $\mathcal{Z}$ admits a W-frame $(B, T, z)$, which we fix (this assumption is harmless by Remark 1.3.2 (2) and Proposition 1.5.4). As in subsection 1.3, we denote by $\Phi, \Phi^+, \Delta$ the roots, positive roots, simple roots with respect to $(B, T)$ respectively, and we denote by $I, J \subset \Delta$ the types of $P, Q$ respectively. For $w \in W$, we fix a representative $\dot{w} \in N_G(T)$, such that $(w_1 w_2)^{\cdot} = \dot{w}_1 \dot{w}_2$ whenever $\ell(w_1 w_2) = \ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2)$ as in Remark 1.3.2 (4).

2.1. **Definition of the stack $G$-$\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$.** We denote by $P_0$ a parabolic subgroup such that ${}^zB \subseteq P_0 \subseteq P$, and we let $I_0 \subseteq I$ denote the type of $P_0$. We call $(\mathcal{Z}, P_0)$ a flagged zip datum.

**Definition 2.1.1.** *A $G$-zip flag of type $(\mathcal{Z}, P_0)$ and exponent $n$ over a $k$-scheme $S$ is a pair $\hat{\mathcal{I}} = (\underline{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{J})$ where $\underline{\mathcal{I}} = (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_P, \mathcal{I}_Q, \iota)$ is a $G$-zip of type $\mathcal{Z}$ and exponent $n$ over $S$, and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}_P$ is a $P_0$-torsor.*

We denote by $G$-$\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}(S)$ the category of $G$-zip flags over $S$ of type $(\mathcal{Z}, P_0)$. By the same arguments as for $G$-zips, we obtain a stack $G$-$\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ over $k$, which we call the stack of $G$-zip flags of type $(\mathcal{Z}, P_0)$. There is a natural morphism of stacks

$$\pi_{P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \longrightarrow G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}} \tag{2.1.1}$$

which forgets the $P_0$-torsor $J$ on the level of categories $G$-$\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}(S) \to G$-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}(S)$. More generally, for each pair of parabolics $(P_0, P_1)$ such that ${}^zB \subset P_1 \subset P_0 \subset P$, we have a natural map of stacks

$$\pi_{P_1,P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_1)} \longrightarrow G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}. \tag{2.1.2}$$

Note that when $P_0 = P$, the stack $G$-$\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P)}$ identifies with $G$-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ through the isomorphism $\pi_P$. Hence we can identify the maps $\pi_{P_0}$ and $\pi_{P_0,P}$. We obtain a tower of stacks above $G$-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. Define a subgroup $\hat{E}_{P_0} \subset E_{\mathcal{Z}}$ by:

$$\hat{E}_{P_0} := \{(x, y) \in E_{\mathcal{Z}},\ x \in P_0\}. \tag{2.1.3}$$

To avoid confusion, we will also denote the group $\hat{E}_{P_0}$ by $\hat{E}^{\mathcal{Z}}_{P_0}$ to emphasis that it depends on the zip datum $\mathcal{Z}$.

**Theorem 2.1.2.**

*(1) The stack $G$-$\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ is isomorphic to the quotient stack $\left[\hat{E}_{P_0}\backslash G\right]$.*

*(2) One has the formula:*

$$\dim\left(G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}\right) = \dim(P/P_0).$$

*(3) For all parabolics ${}^zB \subset P_1 \subset P_0 \subset P$, we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_1)} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{P_1,P_0}} & G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \left[\hat{E}_{P_1}\backslash G\right] & \longrightarrow & \left[\hat{E}_{P_0}\backslash G\right] \end{array}$$

*where the vertical maps are isomorphisms and the lower horizontal map is induced by the natural projection.*

(4) *The map $\pi_{P_1,P_0}$ is proper and smooth, and its fibers are isomorphic to $P_0/P_1$.*

*Proof.* Assertion (2) follows immediately from (4) and the fact that the dimension of $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ is 0. The proof of the other statements is entirely similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1.3 in [GK15]. □

In other word, assertion (3) states that there is an isomorphism of towers above the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}} \simeq [E_{\mathcal{Z}}\backslash G]$ :

$$G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_\bullet)} \simeq \left[\hat{E}_{P_\bullet}\backslash G\right] \tag{2.1.4}$$

We set $P_{0,L} := P_0 \cap L$. The natural inclusion $G \to G \times L$, $g \mapsto (g,1)$ induces an isomorphism of quotient stacks

$$\left[\hat{E}_{P_0}\backslash G\right] \longrightarrow [E\backslash (G \times L/P_{0,L})]\,. \tag{2.1.5}$$

In this description, the same group $E$ acts at all levels of the tower, and the natural projections are $E$-equivariant.

2.2. **Coarse flag strata.** We will identify without mentionning it explicitely the stack of zip flags of type $(\mathcal{Z}, P_0)$ and the quotient stack $\left[\hat{E}_{P_0}\backslash G\right]$ (Theorem 2.1.2). We define a parabolic subgroup $Q_0$ by

$$Q_0 := (L \cap P_0)^{(q)} R_u(Q) \tag{2.2.1}$$

Note that $B \subset Q_0 \subset Q$ and that $E_0 \subset P_0 \times Q_0$. Denote by $J_0 \subset J$ the type of $Q_0$. Hence we have a natural smooth morphism of stacks:

$$\psi_{P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} = \left[\hat{E}_{P_0}\backslash G\right] \to [(P_0 \times Q_0)\backslash G]\,. \tag{2.2.2}$$

We define the coarse flag strata of the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ as the fibers of the morphism $\psi_{P_0}$. Hence the coarse flag strata are the quotient stacks $[\hat{E}_{P_0}\backslash C]$ for $C$ a $P_0 \times Q_0$-orbit in $G$. We define a closed coarse flag stratum as the preimage by $\psi_{P_0}$ of the closure of a point in $[(P_0 \times Q_0)\backslash G]$. Under the isomorphism (2.1.5), we obtain a map

$$\psi_{P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \simeq [E\backslash (G \times L/P_{0,L})] \to [(P_0 \times Q_0)\backslash G]\,. \tag{2.2.3}$$

which is naturally induced by the map:

$$\tilde{\psi}_{P_0} : G \times L \to G, \quad (g,x) \mapsto xg\varphi^n(x)^{-1}. \tag{2.2.4}$$

Recall that the underlying topological space of $[(P_0 \times Q_0)\backslash G]$ is isomorphic to ${}^{I_0}W^{J_0}$ endowed with the topology attached to the Bruhat order. The parametrization is given by the map:

$${}^{I_0}W^{J_0} \to [(P_0 \times Q_0)\backslash G]\,, \quad w \mapsto P_0 \dot{z} \dot{w} Q_0. \tag{2.2.5}$$

For $w \in {}^{I_0}W^{J_0}$, we denote by $C_{P_0,w} := P_0 \dot{w} Q_0$ the corresponding $P_0 \times Q_0$-orbit, and define

$$\mathbf{Z}_{P_0,w} := \left[\hat{E}_{P_0}\backslash C_{P_0,w}\right]$$

the corresponding coarse flag stratum. Similarly, we may use the description given by isomorphism (2.1.5), hence we also define:

$$\mathbf{H}_{P_0,w} := \{(g,x) \in G \times L/P_{0,L},\ xg\varphi^n(x)^{-1} \in C_{P_0,w}\}. \tag{2.2.6}$$

Thus $\mathbf{H}_{P_0,w}$ is a locally closed subvariety in $G \times L/P_{0,L}$ and is stable by the action of $E$.

**Proposition 2.2.1.**

*(1) The coarse flag strata are irreducible, smooth, and their closures are normal.*
*(2) The coarse closed flag strata coincide with the closures of coarse flag strata.*
*(3) Set $I_w := J_0 \cap w^{-1}I_0w$. The dimension of $\mathbf{H}_{P_0,w}$ is*

$$\ell(w) + \ell(w_{0,J_0}) - \ell(w_{0,I_w}) - \dim(P_0).$$

*Proof.* The first two parts are a simple generalization of Theorem 5.3.3 in [GK15]. The third one is a consequence of Proposition 1.12 in [Wed]. □

For all $P_1 \subset P_0$ with corresponding subgroups $Q_1 \subset Q_0$, we have a a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_1)} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{P_1}} & [(P_1 \times Q_1)\backslash G] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{P_1,P_0}} & & \downarrow \\ G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{P_0}} & [(P_0 \times Q_0)\backslash G] \end{array}$$

where the rightmost vertical map is the natural projection. Hence we may think of the maps $(\psi_{P_0})_{P_0}$ as a morphism of towers

$$\psi : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_\bullet)} \to [(P_\bullet \times Q_\bullet)\backslash G]\,. \tag{2.2.7}$$

## 3. Fine flag strata

In this section, we define a stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ which is finer that the stratification given by the map $\psi_{P_0}$.

3.1. **Definition of fine flag strata.** For a parabolic ${}^zB \subset P_0 \subset P$ with type $I_0 \subset I$, we denote always by $Q_0$ the parabolic attached to $P_0$ by formula (2.2.1) and by $J_0$ its type. Let $L_0$ (resp. $M_0$) be the Levi subgroup of $P_0$ (resp. $Q_0$) containing $T$. It follows from the definition that $\varphi^n(L_0) = M_0$. Hence the tuple

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 := (G, P_0, L_0, Q_0, M_0, \varphi^n) \tag{3.1.1}$$

is a zip datum of exponent $n$. We obtain a stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ and a zip group $E_{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ such that

$$\hat{E}_{P_0} \subset E_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \subset P_0 \times Q_0. \tag{3.1.2}$$

Hence we obtain a smooth morphism of stacks

$$\Psi_{P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0} \simeq [E_{\mathcal{Z}_0}\backslash G] \tag{3.1.3}$$

which induces a factorization:

$$G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{P_0}} G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0} \simeq \left[\hat{E}_{P_0}\backslash G\right] \xrightarrow{\beta} [(P_0 \times Q_0)\backslash G] \tag{3.1.4}$$

where $\beta$ is the natural projection, such that the composition of these maps is the morphism $\psi_{P_0}$. We define fine flag strata as the fibers of the map $\Psi_{P_0}$. They are

parametrized by the subset ${}^{I_0}W \subset W$. Note that fine flag strata coincide with coarse flag strata in the case $P_0 = {}^zB$ and with usual zip strata in the case $P_0 = P$.

We can decompose the groups $E_{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ and $\hat{E}_{P_0}$ as products:

$$L_0 \times U_0 \times V_0 \simeq E_{\mathcal{Z}_0}, \quad (x,u,v) \longmapsto (xu, \varphi(x)v) \tag{3.1.5}$$

$$L_0 \times U_0 \times V \simeq \hat{E}_{P_0}, \quad (x,u,v) \longmapsto (xu, \varphi(x\theta_L^P(u))v) \tag{3.1.6}$$

where $\theta_L^P : P \to L$ denotes the reduction modulo the unipotent radical $U$, using the same notation as in [KW14], §2.5.

**Proposition 3.1.1.** *The map $\Psi_{P_0}$ is smooth, and is an affine bundle with fibers isomorphic to*

$$E_{\mathcal{Z}_0}/\hat{E}_{P_0} \simeq M \cap V_0 \simeq V_0/V \simeq \mathbf{A}^r$$

*where $r = \dim(P/P_0)$.*

*Proof.* Clearly, $\Psi_{P_0}$ is a fiber bundle with fibers isomorphic to $E_{\mathcal{Z}_0}/\hat{E}_{P_0}$. Using the decompositions (3.1.5) and (3.1.6), it is clear that the map

$$V_0 \longrightarrow E_{\mathcal{Z}_0}/\hat{E}_{P_0} \tag{3.1.7}$$

taking an element $u \in V_0$ to the class of the element $(1,u) \in E_{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ is surjective, and induces an isomorphism $V_0/V \simeq E_{\mathcal{Z}_0}/\hat{E}_{P_0}$. It is also clear that the inclusion $M \cap V_0 \subset V_0$ induces an isomorphism $M \cap V_0 \simeq V_0/V$. Since $M \cap V_0$ is unipotent, it is isomorphic as a variety to $\mathbf{A}^r$, with $r = \dim(M \cap V_0) = \dim(P/P_0)$. □

In particular, since $\dim(G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}) = 0$, we recover assertion (2) of Theorem 2.1.2 for the dimension of $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$.

Let $P_0$, $P_1$ be parabolics of $G$ such that ${}^zB \subset P_1 \subset P_0 \subset P$. Note that we have inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{E}_{P_1} & \subset & \hat{E}_{P_0} \\ \cap & & \cap \\ E_{\mathcal{Z}_1} & & E_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \end{array}$$

but in general there is no inclusions between $E_{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ and $E_{\mathcal{Z}_1}$.

**Proposition 3.1.2.** *Let $P_0$, $P_1$ be parabolics of $G$ such that ${}^zB \subset P_1 \subset P_0 \subset P$. Then the map $\pi_{P_1,P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_1)} \to G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ sends a fine flag stratum for $P_1$ to a union of fine flag strata for $P_0$.*

*Proof.* Denote by $\mathcal{Z}_1$ the zip datum attached to $P_1$ as in (3.1.1). We have to show that $E_{\mathcal{Z}_1}$-orbit $C$ in $G$, the set $X := \hat{E}_{P_0} \cdot C$ is stable by $E_{\mathcal{Z}_0}$. Since $X$ is clearly stable by the action of $L_0$ acting by $\varphi^n$-conjugation, it suffices to show that $X$ is stable by elements $(u,v) \in R_uP_0 \times R_uQ_0$. Let $x \in X$ be an element. Since $R_uP \times R_uQ \subset \hat{E}_{P_0}$, we may assume that there exists $a \in P_{0,L}$ such that $y := ax\varphi^n(a)^{-1}$ is in $C$. Write $a = a'r$ for $a' \in L_0$ and $r \in R_uP_0$. One has

$$uxv = ua^{-1}y\varphi^n(a)v = a'^{-1}u'yv'\varphi^n(a')$$

for some elements $(u',v') \in R_uP_0 \times R_uQ_0$. Since $R_uP_0 \times R_uQ_0 \subset R_uP_1 \times R_uQ_1$, we deduce that $u'yv' \in C$, and hence $uxv \in X$ as claimed. □

As usual, there are two ways to parametrize fine flag strata. For a parabolic ${}^zB \subset P_0 \subset P$ with type $I_0 \subset I$ and elements $w \in {}^{I_0}W$, $w' \in W^{J_0}$, we define

$$Z_{P_0,w} := \Psi_{P_0}^{-1}\left(o_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(\dot{z}\dot{w})\right)$$
$$Z'_{P_0,w'} := \Psi_{P_0}^{-1}\left(o_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(\dot{z}\dot{w}')\right)$$

the corresponding fine flag strata in $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ (endowed with the reduced structure as locally closed substacks). Again, we may use isomorphism (2.1.5) and define $E$-stable locally closed subvarieties of $G \times L/P_{0,L}$ instead. This makes it possible to avoid the language of stacks in some proofs. For $w \in {}^{I_0}W$, $w' \in W^{J_0}$, we define :

$$H_{P_0,w} := \{(g,x) \in G \times L/P_{0,L},\ xg\varphi^n(x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(\dot{z}\dot{w})\} \tag{3.1.8}$$

$$H'_{P_0,w'} := \{(g,x) \in G \times L/P_{0,L},\ xg\varphi^n(x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(\dot{z}\dot{w}')\}. \tag{3.1.9}$$

**Proposition 3.1.3.**

*(1) For all $w \in {}^{I_0}W$, the variety $H_{P_0,w}$ (resp. the stack $Z_{P_0,w}$) is smooth of dimension $\ell(w) + \dim(P)$ (resp. $\ell(w) + \dim(P) - \dim(G)$).*

*(2) For all $w' \in W^{J_0}$, the variety $H'_{P_0,w'}$ (resp. the stack $Z'_{P_0,w'}$) is smooth of dimension $\ell(w') + \dim(P)$ (resp. $\ell(w') + \dim(P) - \dim(G)$).*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Proposition 3.1.1 and the dimension formula (1.6.3). □

If different zip data come into play, we write a superscript indicating the zip datum one considers. For example, consider parabolics ${}^zB \subset P_1 \subset P_0 \subset P$. We have defined a zip datum $\mathcal{Z}_0 = (G, P_0, L_0, Q_0, M_0, \varphi^n)$. Hence it makes sense to speak of $G$-zips of type $(\mathcal{Z}_0, P_1)$. Therefore we define $\pi_{P_1}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ and $\Psi_{P_1}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ as the maps attached to $P_1$ with respect to the zip datum $\mathcal{Z}_0$ by (2.1.2) and (3.1.3) respectively.

**Proposition 3.1.4.** *Let $P_0$, $P_1$ be parabolics of $G$ such that ${}^zB \subset P_1 \subset P_0 \subset P$. There is a natural map $\Psi_{P_1}^{\mathcal{Z}/P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_1)} \to G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z}_0,P_1)}$ such that the following diagram commutes:*




*and such that the above commutative square is cartesian.*

*Proof.* This follows simply from the "cartesian diagram" of inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{E}_{P_1}^{\mathcal{Z}} & \hookrightarrow & \hat{E}_{P_1}^{\mathcal{Z}_0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \hat{E}_{P_0}^{\mathcal{Z}} & \hookrightarrow & E_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \end{array}$$

We mean by this that the subgroups $\hat{E}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}_{P_1}$ and $\hat{E}^{\mathcal{Z}}_{P_0}$ generate $E_{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ and their intersection is exactly $\hat{E}^{\mathcal{Z}}_{P_1}$. □

*Remark* 3.1.5. The morphism $\Psi^{\mathcal{Z}/P_0}_{P_1}$ induces thus a bijection between fine flag strata of $G$-$\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_1)}$ and $G$-$\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z}_0,P_1)}$. Hence, any statement stable by base change regarding intermediate parabolics $P_1 \subset P_0$ can be reduced to a result involving just the parabolic $P_1$, by changing the zip datum to $\mathcal{Z}_0$.

Proposition 3.1.4 can be generalized as follows. The proof is similar, so we skip it. Consider four parabolics ${}^zB \subset P_\square \subset P_\blacksquare \subset P_\blacklozenge \subset P_\lozenge \subset P$. then we have a commutative diagram with a cartesian square in the middle:




3.2. **Minimal, cominimal fine flag strata.** It is a difficult problem to determine the images of fine flag strata by the morphism $\pi_{P_1,P_0}$. In this section we give a partial result.

**Definition 3.2.1.** *Let ${}^zB \subset P_1 \subset P_0 \subset P$ be parabolics with types $I_1 \subset I_0 \subset I$. A $P_0$-minimal (resp. $P_0$-cominimal) fine flag stratum of $G$-$\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_1)}$ is a stratum of the form $Z_{P_1,w}$ for $w \in {}^{I_0}W$ (resp. $Z'_{P_1,w'}$ for $w' \in W^{J_0}$).*

This generalizes the concept of minimal strata introduced by Ekedahl and Van der Geer in [EvdG09] and also studied by the authors in [GK15].

**Proposition 3.2.2.**

*(1) Let ${}^zB \subset P_1 \subset P_0 \subset P$ be parabolics with types $I_1 \subset I_0 \subset I$. Then for all $w \in {}^{I_0}W$ and $w' \in W^{J_0}$, one has:*

$$\pi_{P_1,P_0}(Z_{P_1,w}) = Z_{P_0,w}$$
$$\pi_{P_1,P_0}\left(Z'_{P_1,w'}\right) = Z'_{P_0,w'}.$$

*Moreover, the maps $\pi_{P_1,P_0} : Z_{P_1,w} \to Z_{P_0,w}$ and $\pi_{P_1,P_0} : Z'_{P_1,w'} \to Z'_{P_0,w'}$ are finite.*

*(2) More generally, for all parabolics ${}^zB \subset P_\square \subset P_\blacksquare \subset P_0 \subset P$, for all $w \in {}^{I_0}W$ and $w' \in W^{J_0}$, we have*

$$\pi_{P_\square,P_\blacksquare}\left(Z_{P_\square,w}\right) = Z_{P_\blacksquare,w}$$
$$\pi_{P_\square,P_\blacksquare}\left(Z'_{P_\square,w'}\right) = Z'_{P_\blacksquare,w'}$$

*and the maps* $\pi_{P_\square,P_\blacksquare} : Z_{P_\square,w} \to Z_{P_\blacksquare,w}$ *and* $\pi_{P_\square,P_\blacksquare} : Z'_{P_\square,w'} \to Z'_{P_\blacksquare,w'}$ *are finite.*

*Remark* 3.2.3. Assertion (2) is not an improvement of assertion (1), since it follows immediately from (1) and the fact that ${}^{I_0}W \subset {}^{I_\blacksquare}W$ and $W^{J_0} \subset W^{J_\blacksquare}$. however, we want to point out by stating (2) that the notion of $P_0$-minimal (resp. cominimal) is "functorial" in parabolics $P_\square \subset P_0$.

*Proof.* As mentionned in the above remark, (2) is an easy consequence of (1). In the special case $P_1 = {}^zB$ and $P_0 = P$, assertion (1) follows immediately from Proposition 5.4.3 in [GK15]. Using Lemma 3.1.4, we obtain the result for arbitrary $P_0$. Now, let $P_1 \subset P_0$ be arbitrary. By the special case, we have in particular $\pi_{{}^zB,P_1}(S_{{}^zB,w}) = Z_{P_1,w}$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{P_1,P_0}(Z_{P_1,w}) &= \pi_{P_1,P_0}(\pi_{{}^zB,P_1}(S_{{}^zB,w})) \\ &= \pi_{{}^zB,P_0}(S_{{}^zB,w}) \\ &= Z_{P_0,w}\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows again from the special case. The same proof applies to cominimal fine flag strata.

For the finiteness of the map $\pi_{P_1,P_0} : Z_{P_1,w} \to Z_{P_0,w}$, we reduce again to the case $P_1 = {}^zB$, where it is known by Proposition 5.4.3, [GK15]. We use the varieties $H_{P_0,w}$ defined in (3.1.8) in order to use classical algebraic geometry. Notice that the morphism $\pi_{P_1,P_0}$ induces a surjective proper map $\tilde{\pi}_{P_1,P_0} : H_{P_1,w} \to H_{P_0,w}$, and it suffices to show that this map is quasi-finite. Note that the map $\tilde{\pi}_{{}^zB,P_0}$ factors as a composition

$$H_{{}^zB,w} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_{{}^zB,P_1}} H_{P_1,w} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_{P_1,P_0}} H_{P_0,w}$$

Since the first map is surjective and the composition is quasi-finite, the second map must be quasi-finite. This concludes the proof. □

3.3. **Closure relations for** $G$-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$**.** For $w \in {}^IW$, write $G^w := \mathcal{O}(\dot{z}\dot{w})$ as in section 1.6. Denote by $\leq$ the Bruhat order on $W$. For $w, w' \in {}^IW$, define $w \preccurlyeq w'$ if and only if there exists $y \in W_I$ such that $yw'\varphi^n(y)^{-1} \leq w$. Recall the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.3.1** ([PWZ11], Theorem 6.2)**.** *The Zariski closure of* $G^w$ *is the union of all* $G^{w'}$ *with* $w' \preccurlyeq w$.

We show below how the flag space can be used to prove a similar result. We will consider the flag space $G$-$\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},{}^zB)}$, which we denote simply by $G$-$\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. Since we consider only the parabolic $P$, we shorten the term "$P$-minimal" to "minimal" and similarly for "cominimal". For elements $x, y \in G(k)$, we define two relations:

$$x \leq y \iff x \in \overline{{}^zByB} \tag{3.3.1}$$

$$x \preccurlyeq y \iff x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(y)} \tag{3.3.2}$$

We say that $(g, l) \in G \times L$ is minimal (resp. cominimal) if its image by the natural isomorphism (2.1.5) in $G$-$\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ lies in a minimal (resp. cominimal) stratum. We say that $g \in G$ is minimal (resp. cominimal) if $(g, 1)$ is minimal (resp. cominimal).

**Proposition 3.3.2.** *Let $x, y \in G$, with $y$ minimal or cominimal. Then:*

$$x \preccurlyeq y \iff \exists h \in L,\ hx\varphi^n(h)^{-1} \leq y$$

*Proof.* We will assume that $y$ is minimal, since the proof is entirely similar in the cominimal case. Let $H \subset G \times L/({}^zB \cap L)$ denote the minimal stratum where the image of $(y, 1)$ lies. By Proposition 3.2.2, the image $\pi(H)$ by the projection $\pi : G \times L/({}^zB \cap L) \to G$ is $\mathcal{O}(y)$. Now, the right-hand side of the equivalence of Proposition 3.3.2 amounts to the existence of $h \in L$ such that $(x, \bar{h}) \in \overline{H}$, where $\bar{h}$ is the image of $h$ by the map $L \to L/({}^zB \cap L)$. But this means exactly that $x$ belongs to $\pi(\overline{H}) = \overline{O(y)}$, so it is equivalent to $x \preccurlyeq y$. □

*Remark* 3.3.3. For $w \in {}^IW$, the element $\dot{z}\dot{w}$ is minimal. Applying Proposition 3.3.2 to this element gives a similar result as Theorem 6.2 of [PWZ11] recalled above.

## 4. Sections over fine flag strata

We will start by recalling the results of [GK15], §6 on the existence of group-theoretical Hasse invariants.

4.1. **Line bundle formulas.** Recall that we fixed a zip datum $\mathcal{Z}$ of exponent $n$ and a $W$-frame $(B, T, z)$. In this section, we only consider the case when $P_0 = {}^zB$ and we study line bundles and sections on fine flag strata of $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},{}^zB)}$ (which we denote simply by $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{\mathcal{Z}}$) as well as on zip strata in $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. In section (4.3), we consider arbitrary parabolics $P_0$. We set $q := p^n$.

The map $G \to G$, $x \mapsto \dot{z}^{-1}x$ induces naturally an isomorphism of quotient stacks

$$\alpha_z : [({}^zB \times B)\backslash G] \xrightarrow{\simeq} [(B \times B)\backslash G]\,. \tag{4.1.1}$$

We thus obtain morphisms of quotient stacks:

$$G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},{}^zB)} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{{}^zB}} \left[\hat{E}_{{}^zB}\backslash G\right] \xrightarrow{\beta} [({}^zB \times B)\backslash G] \xrightarrow{\alpha_z} [(B \times B)\backslash G]\,. \tag{4.1.2}$$

Here $\beta$ is a homeomorphism on the underlying topological spaces, and $\beta \circ \Psi_{{}^zB} = \psi_{{}^zB}$ is the morphism defined by (2.2.2). Note that coarse and fine flag strata coincide. The composition of the above maps is a smooth morphism of stacks

$$\psi : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{\mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow [(B \times B)\backslash G]\,. \tag{4.1.3}$$

To simplify notations, we write simply $E'$ for the group $E_{{}^zB}$ and $C_w := B\dot{w}B$ for the Schubert stratum attached to $w \in W$. For $(\lambda, \mu) \in X^*(T)$, we denote by $\mathscr{L}_{(\lambda,\mu)}$ the line bundle on $[(B \times B)\backslash G]$ attached naturally to $(\lambda, \mu)$ by (7.1.1). Recall Chevalley's formula ([GK15], Theorem 5.2.2)[1]:

**Theorem 4.1.1.** *Let $w$ be an element in $W$. One has the following:*

1. *The line bundle $\mathscr{L}_{(\lambda,\mu)}$ admits global sections on the stack $[(B \times B)\backslash C_w]$ if and only if the relation $\mu = -w^{-1}\lambda$ holds.*
2. *The space $H^0\left([(B \times B)\backslash C_w], \mathscr{L}_{(\lambda,w^{-1}\lambda)}\right)$ has dimension one.*
3. *The Weil divisor of any nonzero section $f \in H^0\left([(B \times B)\backslash C_w], \mathscr{L}_{(\lambda,w^{-1}\lambda)}\right)$ (viewed as a rational function of $\overline{C_w}$) is equal to:*

$$\mathrm{div}(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in E_w} \langle \lambda, w\alpha^\vee \rangle X_{ws_\alpha}$$

[1]The parametrization in [GK15], Theorem 5.2.2 is slighlty different.

*where $E_w$ is the set of roots $\alpha \in \Phi$ such that $ws_\alpha < w$ with length equal to $\ell(w) - 1$.*

We make the identification $X^*(E') = X^*(T)$ via the projection maps $E' \to {}^zB \to T$. For a character $\lambda \in X^*(T)$, we denote by $\mathscr{M}_\lambda$ the attached line bundle on $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{\mathcal{Z}} \simeq [E'\backslash G]$. For $\lambda, \mu \in X^*(T)$, one has:

$$\psi^*(\mathscr{L}_{(\lambda,\mu)}) = \mathscr{M}_\xi, \quad \text{for} \quad \xi = z\lambda + \mu \circ \varphi^n \tag{4.1.4}$$

As suggested by Theorem 4.1.1, the interesting line bundles on $[(B \times B)\backslash G]$ are of the form $\mathscr{L}_{(\lambda,-w^{-1}\lambda)}$. Formula (4.1.4) above leads us to define the map

$$h_w : X^*(T)_{\mathbf{Q}} \to X^*(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}, \quad \lambda \mapsto \lambda - (w\lambda) \circ \varphi^n \tag{4.1.5}$$

for an element $w \in W$. Recall that the action of the Weyl group $W$ and the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(k/\mathbf{F}_p)$ on $X^*(T)$ are related by the relation $w(\lambda \circ \varphi^n) = (\varphi^n(w)\lambda) \circ \varphi^n$ for all $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in X^*(T)$. Hence, for $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in X^*(T)$, we have

$$\psi^*(\mathscr{L}_{(\lambda,-w^{-1}\lambda)}) = \mathscr{M}_\xi, \quad \text{for} \quad \xi = zh_{\varphi^n(z)^{-1}w^{-1}}(\lambda) \tag{4.1.6}$$

The map $h_w$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{Q}$-vector spaces and its inverse was computed in [GK15], Lemma 6.1.2. For all $r \geq 1$, define $w^{(r)} := \varphi^{(r-1)n}(w)...\varphi^n(w)w$. We obtain the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.1.2.** *Choose $r, m \geq 1$ such that $w^{(r)} = e$ for all $w \in W$ and all characters of $T$ are defined over $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. Let $\chi \in X^*(T)$ and $w \in W$. Then one has $\psi^*(\mathscr{L}_{(\lambda,w^{-1}\lambda)}) = \mathscr{M}_\chi$ for the quasi-character*

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{q^{rm}-1} \sum_{i=0}^{rm-1} ((\varphi^n(z)^{-1}w^{-1})^{(i)} z^{-1}\chi) \circ \varphi^{in} \tag{4.1.7}$$

*Furthermore, the summand corresponding to $i = rm - 1$ is*

$$((\varphi^n(z)^{-1}w^{-1})^{(rm-1)} z^{-1}\chi) \circ \varphi^{(rm-1)n} = (\varphi^{-n}(w)\chi) \circ \varphi^{(rm-1)n}.$$

4.2. **Sections over zip strata.** We make the identification $X^*(E) = X^*(P) = X^*(L)$ via the natural maps $E \to P \to L$. For a character $\lambda \in X^*(L)$, we denote by $\mathscr{D}_\lambda$ the associated line bundle on $[E\backslash G] \simeq G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. Recall the following result (Theorem 3.1 of [Kos14]):

**Proposition 4.2.1.** *Let $C$ be an $E$-orbit in $G$. Then there exists an integer $N_C \geq 1$ such that for all characters $\chi \in X^*(L)$, the space $H^0([E\backslash C], \mathscr{D}_\chi^{N_C})$ is one-dimensional.*

**Definition 4.2.2.**

*(i) A character $\lambda \in X^*(L)$ is called ample if it satisfies $\langle \chi, \alpha^\vee \rangle < 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \varphi^{-n}(J)$. If several zip data appear, we say hat $\lambda$ is $\mathcal{Z}$-ample to avoid confusion.*

*(ii) A character $\chi \in X^*(T)$ is $q$-small if $\langle \chi, \alpha^\vee \rangle \leq q - 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$.*

*(iii) A character $\chi \in X^*(T)$ is $q$-close if*

$$\frac{\max\limits_{\alpha\in\Phi} |\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle|}{\min\limits_{\alpha\in\Delta\setminus\varphi^{-n}(J)} |\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle|} \leq q - 1 \tag{4.2.1}$$

*(iv) A character $\chi \in X^*(T)$ is orbitally $q$-close if for all $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \varphi^{-n}(J)$, for all $w \in W$, and for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(k/\mathbf{F}_q)$, one has*

$$\left| \frac{\langle \lambda, w\sigma(\alpha)^\vee \rangle}{\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle} \right| \leq q - 1. \tag{4.2.2}$$

It is clear that a $q$-small character is $q$-close, and a $q$-close character is also orbitally $q$-close.

*Remark* 4.2.3. The character $\lambda \in X^*(L)$ is ample if and only if the associated line bundle on $G/\varphi^{-n}(Q)$ is anti-ample.

**Definition 4.2.4.** *Let $S$ be locally closed in a scheme (or algebraic stack) $X$, with Zariski closure $\overline{S}$, and let $\mathscr{L}$ be a line bundle on $X$. We say that a section $f \in H^0(\overline{S}, \mathscr{L})$ is a characteristic section for $S$ if the non-vanishing locus of $f$ in $\overline{S}$ is exactly $S$.*

The combinatorial results that we recalled culminate in Theorem 3.1.2 of [GK15]:

**Theorem 4.2.5.** *Let $C \subset G$ be an $E$-orbit and let $\overline{C}$ denote its Zariski closure, with the reduced scheme structure. Let $\chi \in X^*(L)$ be an ample, orbitally $q$-close character of $L$. Then there exists an integer $N \geq 1$ and a characteristic section $h \in H^0([E\backslash\overline{C}], \mathscr{D}_\chi^{\otimes N})$ for $[E\backslash C]$.*

Here is a very short sketch of the proof: By combining Formula 4.1.1 (3) with Formula (4.1.2), we obtain a formula for the divisor of a natural rational section $f_w \in H^0(Z_w, \mathscr{M}_\chi^r)$ (for some $r \geq 1$) for any flag stratum $\overline{Z}_w$ ($w \in W$) and for all $\chi \in X^*(T)$. Now we restrict our attention to the case $\chi \in X^*(L)$ and $w \in {}^I W$. The assumption "orbitally $q$-close" then implies that this divisor has positive multiplicity along all codimension 1 fine flag strata in $\overline{Z}_w$. Moreover, we have a canonical section $g_w \in H^0([E\backslash G^w], \mathscr{D}_\chi^s)$ (for some $s \geq 1$) by Proposition 4.2.1. The pull-back of $\pi^*(g_w^s)$ to $Z_w$ coincides (up to a scalar) with $f_w^r$ because they are both sections of $\mathscr{M}_\chi^{rs}$ over $Z_w$, and the space $H^0(Z_w, \mathscr{M}_\chi^{rs})$ is one-dimensional by Proposition 5.4.5 of *loc. cit.* Then a descent lemma (Lemma 6.2.7 in *loc. cit.*) shows that $g_w$ extends to $\overline{G^w}$, which terminates the proof.

**Corollary 4.2.6.** *Let $\mathcal{Z} = (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi^n)$ be an exponent $n$ zip datum such that $G_k \simeq GL_{n,k}$ and $P$ is a maximal parabolic. Then there exists an ample character $\lambda \in X^*(L)$ and an integer $N \geq 1$ such that $\mathscr{D}_\chi^{\otimes N}$ admits characteristic sections on all zip strata.*

*Proof.* In this case, the fundamental weight of $P$ is ample and minuscule, so Theorem 4.2.5 applies. □

4.3. **Sections over fine flag strata.** We fix a parabolic subgroup ${}^zB \subset P_0 \subset P$ with type $I_0 \subset I$. We make the identification $X^*(\hat{E}_{P_0}) = X^*(L_0)$ as usual. For a character $\chi \in X^*(L_0)$, we denote by $\mathscr{M}_{P_0,\lambda}$ (or $\mathscr{M}_{P_0,\lambda}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ to avoid confusion) the attached line bundle on $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \simeq \left[\hat{E}_{P_0}\backslash G\right]$.

*Remark* 4.3.1. Since $\mathrm{Pic}(G)$ is finite, there exists an integer $m$ such that for all line bundle $\mathscr{M}$ on $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$, $\mathscr{M}^m$ is attached to a character of $L_0$.

**Definition 4.3.2** (principal purity, uniform principal purity)**.** *Let $X$ be a scheme or a stack, together with a stratification.*

(1) *The stratification $X$ is principally pure if for all stratum $S$, there exists a line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $X$ and a characteristic section $f \in H^0(\overline{S}, \mathscr{L})$ for $S$.*
(2) *The stratification of $X$ is uniformly principally pure if there exists a line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $X$ and for each stratum $S$, a characteristic section $f \in H^0(\overline{S}, \mathscr{L})$ for $S$.*

This definition applies to the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(G,P_0)}$ endowed with the fine stratification. By Remark 4.3.1, we may equivalently ask for the existence of a character $\lambda \in X^*(L_0)$ such that $\mathscr{M}_{P_0,\lambda}$ satisfies these conditions. Note that for $P_0 = P$, this definition also applies to the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$, endowed with the zip stratification.

As we mentionned in the introduction, a key problem is to show principal purity of the zip stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. If the stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ was always principally pure (but it is not the case), we could also deduce the principal purity of the fine stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$, simply by pulling back along the map

$$\Psi_{P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}.$$

Conversely, one can show that principal purity of the fine stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ for some parabolic $P_0$ implies principal purity of the zip stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$.

We will give in section 4.4 an example where the zip stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ is not principally pure. We will prove below that when $\mathcal{Z}$ is of maximal-type (in particular, if $\mathcal{Z}$ is of Hodge-type), then the zip stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ is always principally pure. However, note that the zip datum $\mathcal{Z}_0$ is usually not of maximal type even if $\mathcal{Z}$ is.

As a consequence of Theorem 4.2.5, we have the following partial result, mentionned in the introduction:

**Theorem 4.3.3.** *Let $(\mathcal{Z}, P_0)$ be a flagged zip datum of exponent $n$ and write $q = p^n$. If there exists an ample, orbitally $q$-close character of $L_0$, then the fine stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ is uniformly principally pure.*

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 4.2.5 to the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ and pull back by the map $\Psi_{P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$. □

In particular, on has the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.3.4.** *Let $G$ be a reductive group over $\mathbf{F}_p$. There exists an integer $N$ (depending only on $G$) such that for all $n \geq N$ and all flagged zip datum $(\mathcal{Z}, P_0)$ for $G$ of exponent $n$, the fine stratfication of $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ is uniformly principally pure.*

Another situation where Theorem 4.3.3 applies is when we start with a zip datum of characteristic 0. For large $p$, the reduction modulo $p$ will satisfy the conditions of the theorem. We will exploit this in section 6.

4.4. **Counter-examples.** In this section, we give a counter-example to principal purity. It is rather easy to find a counter-example to uniform principal purity. For example, consider a cocharacter zip datum $(GL_{4,\mathbf{F}_p}, \mu)$ where $\mu$ is the cocharacter

$$\mu : \mathbf{G}_{m,k} \to GL_{4,k}, \quad z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & z & & \\ & & z^2 & \\ & & & z^3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.4.1}$$

Let $B \subset GL_{4,k}$ denote the Borel subgroup of upper-triangular matrices and $T \subset B$ the diagonal torus. The zip datum of level 1 attached to $(GL_{4,\mathbf{F}_p}, \mu)$ is $\mathcal{Z} := (GL_{4,\mathbf{F}_p}, B_-, T, B, T, \varphi)$, where $B_-$ is the opposite Borel. In this case, the zip strata of $GL_{4,k}$ coincide with the $B_- \times B$-orbits in $GL_{4,k}$. Then it is easy to see after some computations that for $p = 2$, there is no character $\lambda \in X^*(T)$ such that $\mathscr{D}_\chi$ admits characteristic section on all zip strata, so the zip stratification is not uniformly principally pure. However, it is clear that the stratification is principally pure, since it coincides with the Bruhat stratification.

To find a counter-example to principal purity, we consider the following case. At first we choose an arbitrary prime number $p \geq 2$. We consider the alternating matrix

$$J := \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & & & \\ & -1 & & & & \\ -1 & & & & & \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.4.2}$$

We denote by $G := Sp(J)$ the symplectic group over $\mathbf{F}_p$ attached to $J$, defined by:

$$G(R) := \{A \in GL_6(R), {}^tAJA = J\} \tag{4.4.3}$$

for all $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebra $R$. It is an affine algebraic group of dimension 21 over $\mathbf{F}_p$. An $\mathbf{F}_p$-split maximal torus $T$ is given by the diagonal matrices in $G$, specifically:

$$T(R) := \{\mathrm{diag}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_3^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, x_1^{-1}), \quad x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R^\times\}. \tag{4.4.4}$$

A Borel subgroup $B$ over $\mathbf{F}_p$ containing $T$ is given by the upper-triangular matrices in $G$. The dimension of $B$ is $\frac{\dim(G)+\dim(T)}{2} = 12$. For a triple $(a, b, c) \in \mathbf{Z}^3$, we define a character $\lambda_{(a,b,c)}$ of $T$ by sending $\mathrm{diag}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_3^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, x_1^{-1})$ to $x_1^a x_2^b x_3^c$. We identify $X^*(T)$ with $\mathbf{Z}^3$ via this isomorphism. Denoting by $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ the standard basis of $\mathbf{Z}^3$, the $T$-roots of $G$ are given by:

$$\Psi := \{e_i \pm e_j, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3\} \cup \{\pm 2e_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3\} \tag{4.4.5}$$

The $B$-positive roots are given by:

$$\Psi_+ := \{e_i \pm e_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\} \cup \{2e_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3\} \tag{4.4.6}$$

and the $B$-simple roots are $\Delta := \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ where:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &:= e_1 - e_2 \\ \alpha_2 &:= e_2 - e_3 \\ \alpha_3 &:= 2e_3. \end{aligned}$$

The Weyl group $W := W(G, T) := N_G(T)/T$ is a constant group scheme attached to the group of permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_6$ satisfying:

$$\sigma(i) + \sigma(7 - i) = 7 \tag{4.4.7}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq 6$. Following the convention of [EvdG09], we denote a permutation $\sigma \in W$ by $[\sigma(1)\sigma(2)\sigma(3)]$ (note that these three values determine $\sigma \in W$ uniquely).

The identity element $e \in W$ is thus [123]. For $1 \leq k \leq 6$, write $\tilde{k} := \min\{k, 7-k\}$. If we define $e_4 := -e_3$, $e_5 := -e_2$, $e_6 := -e_1$, then $W$ acts on $X^*(T)$ by:

$$\sigma e_i = e_{\sigma(i)}. \tag{4.4.8}$$

For $1 \leq k \leq 6$, write $\widetilde{k} := \min\{k, 7-k\}$. For $\sigma \in W$, let $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathfrak{S}_3$ denote the permutation $k \mapsto \widetilde{\sigma(k)}$. This defines a surjective group homomorphism $W \to \mathfrak{S}_3$ and yields an isomorphism:

$$W \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^3 \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_3. \tag{4.4.9}$$

For a root $\alpha \in \psi$, we denote by $s_\alpha \in W$ the corresponding reflection. The simple reflections are given by:

$$s_{\alpha_1} = [213]$$
$$s_{\alpha_2} = [132]$$
$$s_{\alpha_3} = [124]$$

We denote by $\ell : W \to \mathbf{N}$ the length function of the Coxeter group $(W, \{s_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta})$. We denote by $\leq$ the Bruhat order on $W$. The longest element is $w_0 = [654]$ and has length 9.

We define a maximal parabolic $Q$ containing $B$ such that for any $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebra $R$, the group $Q(R)$ is the set of elements of $G(R)$ of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & * & * \\ 0 & B & * \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \quad A, B, C \in GL_2(R) \tag{4.4.10}$$

We see immediately that such a matrix satisfies the conditions:

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B \in SL_2(R) \tag{4.4.11}$$

In particular, we see that the Levi subgroup $L$ of $Q$ containing $T$ is isomorphic to $GL_{2,\mathbf{F}_p} \times SL_{2,\mathbf{F}_p}$. Hence $\dim(L) = 7$, and we obtain $\dim(Q) = \frac{\dim(G)+\dim(L)}{2} = 14$. The unipotent radical $V \subset Q$ has dimension 7. The Weyl group $W_L = W(L,T)$ identifies with the subgroup $W_L = \langle s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_3} \rangle$. The longest element of $W_L$ is $w_{0,L} := [214]$ (length 2). We also define:

$$z := w_0 w_{0,L} = [563] \tag{4.4.12}$$

This element has length 7. Let ${}^L W$ denote the set of $w \in W$ which have minimal length in the coset $W_L w$.

For a permutation $\sigma \in W$, we denote by $\dot{w} \in GL_3(\mathbf{F}_p)$ the matrix $(a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 3}$ with:

$$a_{i,j} = \epsilon_j \delta_{i\sigma(j)}, \text{ and } \epsilon_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \leq 3 \text{ or } \sigma(j) \geq 3 \\ -1 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{4.4.13}$$

It is easy to see that $\dot{w} \in G(\mathbf{F}_p)$ and is a representative of $w \in N_G(T)/T$.

Let $P$ be the opposite parabolic subgroup of $Q$ with respect to $L$. We obtain a zip datum

$$\mathcal{Z} := (G, P, L, Q, L, \varphi). \tag{4.4.14}$$

It is easy to check that this is the zip datum attached to the cocharacter datum $(G, \mu)$, where $\mu : \mathbf{G}_{m,k} \to G_k$ is the cocharacter defined by

$$\mu : \mathbf{G}_{m,k} \to G_k, \quad z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} zI_2 & & \\ & I_2 & \\ & & z^{-1}I_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.4.15}$$

Recall that there is a bijection $w \mapsto G^w$ between ${}^LW$ and the set of $E$-orbits in $G$, given by $w \mapsto G_w := \mathcal{O}(\dot{z}\dot{w})$, and one has the dimension formula $\dim(G^w) = \ell(w) + \dim(P)$. Here is a representation of the zip strata. For two elements $w, w'$, an arrow $w \to w'$ indicates the closure relation $G_w \subset \overline{G}_{w'}$.




In this case, the order $\preccurlyeq$ defined in [PWZ11], Definition 6.1, coincides with the restriction of the Bruhat order $\leq$ to ${}^LW$.

In this example, the formulae involved in the proof of Theorem 4.2.5 simplify considerably. For an element $w \in^L W$, denote by $X_w$ the unique minimal stratum in $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z})}$ above $G_w$. By definition:

$$X_w := \{(g, xB) \in G \times P/B_-,\ zx^{-1}g\varphi(\bar{x}) \in B\dot{w}B\}. \tag{4.4.16}$$

Let $r$ denote the order in $W$ of the element $wz$. Then for all $\chi \in X^*(T)$, the line bundle $\mathscr{M}_\chi^{p^r-1}$ admits a section

$$g_w \in H^0(X_w, \mathscr{M}_\chi^{p^r-1}) \tag{4.4.17}$$

and one has $\mathrm{div}(g_w) = \sum_{\alpha \in E_w} n_\alpha \overline{X}_{ws_\alpha}$ with

$$n_\alpha := -\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} p^i \langle \chi, (zw)^{i+1}\alpha^\vee \rangle. \tag{4.4.18}$$

Furthermore, if $\lambda \in X^*(L)$, then there is a unique section $f_w \in H^0(G_w, \mathscr{D}_\chi^{p^r-1})$ such that $\pi^*(f_w) = g_w$ (see Proposition 4.2.1).

In the following, we consider the character $\chi := \lambda_{(1,0,0)}$, which is the fundamental weight of $Q$. It is a generator of $X^*(L)$. We look at the element

$$w := [351] \in {}^LW. \tag{4.4.19}$$

One has $\ell(w) = 4$. The set $E_w$ of "lower neighbours" of $w$ consists of 4 elements:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &:= [153] = ws_{\alpha_1} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_1 = e_1 - e_3 \\ w_2 &:= [241] = ws_{\alpha_2} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_2 = e_1 + e_2 \\ w_3 &:= [315] = ws_{\alpha_3} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_3 = e_2 - e_3 \\ w_4 &:= [321] = ws_{\alpha_4} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_4 = 2e_2 \end{aligned}$$

An easy computation shows that the multiplicities $n_\alpha$ as in (4.4.18) are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\alpha_1} &= p - 1 \\ n_{\alpha_2} &= 2p - 1 \\ n_{\alpha_3} &= p - 2 \\ n_{\alpha_2} &= p - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that in the special case $p = 2$, the multiplicity $n_{\alpha_3}$ is zero, so the function $g_w$ will be non-vanishing along $X_{w_3}$. This shows that no power of the

function $f_w \in H^0(G_w, \mathscr{D}_\chi^{p^r-1})$ extends to a characteristic function of $G_w$. Actually, one can show that no power of $f_w$ extends at all to $\overline{G}_w$.

## 5. Functoriality and discrete fiber theorem

5.1. **Discrete fiber theorem.** We consider a morphism $f : \mathcal{Z}_1 \to \mathcal{Z}_2$ of zip data of exponent $n$, with $\mathcal{Z}_i = (G_i, P_i, L_i, Q_i, M_i, \varphi^n)$. We say that $f$ has central kernal if the underlying group homomorphism $f : G_1 \to G_2$ has this property.

**Theorem 5.1.1.** *Let $f : \mathcal{Z}_1 \to \mathcal{Z}_2$ be a morphism with central kernel (e.g a finite morphism). Then the attached morphism of stacks*

$$\tilde{f} : G_1\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_1} \to G_2\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_2} \tag{5.1.1}$$

*has discrete fibers on the underlying topological spaces.*

*Proof.* We first reduce the proof to the case when $f$ is a closed embedding. Set $K := \mathrm{Ker}(f)$ and define $\tilde{\square} = \square/K$ for $\square = G_1, P_1, L_1, Q_1, M_1$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_1$ the zip datum $(\tilde{G}_1, \tilde{P}_1, \tilde{L}_1, \tilde{Q}_1, \tilde{M}_1, \varphi^n)$. It is easy to see that the natural projection $\pi : G_1 \to \tilde{G}_1$ induces a map $\mathcal{Z}_1 \to \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_1$. Furthermore the induced map

$$\tilde{\pi} : G_1\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_1} \to \tilde{G}_1\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_1} \tag{5.1.2}$$

is a bijection on the underlying topological spaces, by the proof of Proposition 3.20 of [KW14]. We may factor the map $f : G_1 \to G_2$ as the composition $G_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} \tilde{G}_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} G_2$ where $\iota$ is a closed embedding. It follows that it suffices to prove the Theorem for the map $\iota$. Hence we assume from now on that $f$ is a closed embedding.

First of all, we may assume that there exists a $W_1$-frame for $\mathcal{Z}_1$. Indeed, choose an arbitrary Borel pair $(B_1, T_1)$ in $G_1$ with $T_1 \subset B_1 \subset Q_1$. There exists an element $h \in G_1(k)$ such that ${}^hT$ and ${}^hB$ are defined over $\mathbf{F}_p$. Define

$$\mathcal{Z}_1' = (G_1, {}^hP_1, {}^hL_1, {}^{\varphi^n(h)}Q_1, {}^{\varphi^n(h)}M_1, \varphi^n)$$
$$\mathcal{Z}_2' = (G_2, {}^{f(h)}P_2, {}^{f(h)}L_2, {}^{\varphi^n(f(h))}Q_2, {}^{\varphi^n(f(h))}M_2, \varphi^n).$$

It is clear that $f$ defines a morphism $\mathcal{Z}_1' \to \mathcal{Z}_2'$ and that we have a commutative diagram of $k$-stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_1\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_1} & \longrightarrow & G_2\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_2} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ G_1\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_1'} & \longrightarrow & G_2\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_2'} \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are isomorphisms of stacks given by Proposition 1.5.4. Hence we may assume that there exists a $W_1$-frame $(B, T, z)$ for $\mathcal{Z}_1$.

**Lemma 5.1.2.** *Let $\chi_2 \in X^*(L_2)$ be an ample character with respect to $\mathcal{Z}_1$. Then the restriction $\chi_1 = \chi_2|_{L_1} \in X^*(L_1)$ is ample with respect to $\mathcal{Z}_2$.*

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.2.* We first show that $f^{-1}(Q_2) = Q_1$. By definition of a morphism of zip data, one has $f(Q_1) \subset Q_2$, so $Q_1 \subset f^{-1}(Q_2)$. In particular, $f^{-1}(Q_2)$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G_1$. Since $f$ is an embedding, $f^{-1}(U_2)$ is identified with a subgroup of $U_2$, so is unipotent. Since it is normal in $G_1$, its connected component is contained in the unipotent radical of $f^{-1}(Q_2)$. Again by definition we have $U_1 \subset f^{-1}(U_2)$, so we deduce that $U_1$ is contained in the unipotent radical of

$f^{-1}(Q_2)$. This implies $Q_1 = f^{-1}(Q_2)$. Since the map $f$ is defined over $\mathbf{F}_p$, we also have $\varphi^{-n}(Q_1) = f^{-1}(\varphi^{-n}(Q_2))$. Hence we have an embedding

$$G_1/\varphi^{-n}(Q_1) \longrightarrow G_2/\varphi^{-n}(Q_2)$$

and we can apply Remark 4.2.3. □

We prove first Theorem 5.1.1 in the case when $n$ is large enough. More precisely, one has the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.1.3.** *Assume that there exists an ample character $\chi_2 \in X^*(L_2)$ such that $\chi_1 := \chi_2|_{L_1}$ is $p^n$-small. Then $\tilde{f}$ has discrete fibers.*

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.3.* By Lemma 5.1.2 above, $\chi_1$ is ample, so we may apply Theorem 4.2.5 to $\chi_1$. If $\tilde{f}$ doesn't have discrete fibers, then we can find two zip strata $C_1 \subset G_1$ and $C_1' \subset \overline{C_1}$ mapping to the same zip stratum $C_2$ of $G_2$. By Theorem 4.2.5, there exists an integer $N$ such that the line bundle $\mathscr{D}_{\chi_1}^{\otimes N}$ admits on the closed stratum $\overline{C_1}$ a section $H_1$ with non-vanishing locus $C_1$. By Proposition 4.2.1, we can also find an integer $r \geq 1$ and a non-vanishing section $H_2$ of $\mathscr{D}_{\chi_2}^{\otimes r}$ on the stratum $C_2$. The pull-back of $H_2^N$ to $C_1$ agrees (up to a nonzero scalar) with $H_1^r$, since they are both nonzero sections of the line bundle $\mathscr{D}_{\chi_2}^{\otimes rN}$, and the space $H^0(C_1, \mathscr{D}_{\chi_2}^{\otimes rN})$ has dimension one, by Proposition 4.2.1. But this contradicts the fact that $H_1$ vanishes on $C_1'$. This proves the lemma. □

We now prove the general case. We fix an integer $A$ such that all the groups $P_i, L_i, Q_i, M_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ are defined over $\mathbf{F}_{p^A}$. For an integer $N \equiv 1 \pmod{A}$, we consider new zip data

$$\mathcal{Z}_{1,N} = (G_1, P_1, L_1, Q_1, M_1, \varphi^{nN})$$
$$\mathcal{Z}_{2,N} = (G_2, P_2, L_2, Q_2, M_2, \varphi^{nN}).$$

By our asumption on $N$ and $A$, the tuples $\mathcal{Z}_{1,N}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{2,N}$ are zip data of exponent $Nn$. The map $f$ induces a morphism of zip data

$$f_N : \mathcal{Z}_{1,N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{2,N} \tag{5.1.3}$$

and a morphism of stacks

$$\tilde{f}_N : G_1\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_{1,N}} \to G_2\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_{2,N}} \tag{5.1.4}$$

By the parametrization 1.6.1, there exists a finite subset $\Sigma_1 \subset G_1(k)$ (resp. $\Sigma_2 \subset G_2(k)$), independant of $N$, which is a system of representatives of the $\mathcal{Z}_{1,N}$-strata in $G_1$ (resp. the $\mathcal{Z}_{2,N}$-strata in $G_2$) for all $N$. The morphism $f_N$ induces therefore a map of sets

$$\Psi_N : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2 \tag{5.1.5}$$

We believe that $\Psi_N$ is independent of $N$, but we only need the following weaker result:

**Lemma 5.1.4.** *Fix an integer $C \geq 1$. Assume that $x, y \in \Sigma_1$ satisfy $\Psi_1(x) = \Psi_1(y)$. Then there exists arbitrary large $N \equiv 1 \pmod{C}$ such that $\Psi_N(x) = \Psi_N(y)$.*

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.4.* Since $\Psi_1(x) = \Psi_1(y)$, the elements $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ are in the same $\mathcal{Z}_2$-zip stratum. Hence we can find $u \in U_2$, $v \in V_2$ and $a \in L_2$ such that

$$f(y) = uaf(x)\varphi^n(a)^{-1}v \tag{5.1.6}$$

Now choose $B \geq 1$ such that $a \in G(\mathbf{F}_{p^B})$. Then for $N \equiv 1 \pmod{ABC}$, we can write

$$f(y) = uaf(x)\varphi^{nN}(a)^{-1}v \tag{5.1.7}$$

which shows that $\Psi_N(x) = \Psi_N(y)$. This terminates the proof of the Lemma. □

To finish the proof of Theorem 5.1.1, denote by $\preccurlyeq_N$ the relation (3.3.2) for the zip datum $\mathcal{Z}_{1,N}$. Assume that $\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}_1$ doesn't have discrete fibers. Then there exists $x, y \in \Sigma_1$ such that $x \preccurlyeq_1 y$ and $\Psi_1(x) = \Psi_1(y)$. By Proposition 3.3.2, there exists $h \in L_1$ such that $hx\varphi^n(x)^{-1} \leq y$. Choose $C \geq 1$ such that $h \in G(\mathbf{F}_{p^C})$. Then

$$hx\varphi^{nN}(x)^{-1} = hx\varphi^n(x)^{-1} \leq y \tag{5.1.8}$$

for all $N \equiv 1 \pmod{C}$, which shows that $x \preccurlyeq_N y$. By Lemma 5.1.4, there exists arbitrary large $N \equiv 1 \pmod{C}$ such that $\Psi_N(x) = \Psi_N(y)$, hence the map $\tilde{f_N}$ does not have discrete fibers for each such $N$. But for large $N$, we can find an ample character of $L_2$ restricting to a $p^{Nn}$-small character of $L_1$. By Lemma 5.1.3 the map $\tilde{f_N}$ has discrete fibers, hence a contradiction. □

**Example 5.1.5.** *We expand on the counter-example in section 4.4. Take $G = Sp(J)$ as defined in (4.4.3) and $\mu : \mathbf{G}_{m,k} \to G_k$ as in (4.4.15). There is a natural embedding $\iota : G \to GL_{6,\mathbf{F}_p}$, and the cocharacter datum $(GL_{6,\mathbf{F}_P}, \iota \circ \mu)$ yields a zip datum $\mathcal{Z}'$ for $GL_{6,\mathbf{F}_p}$, for which the Levi $L \subset GL_{6,k}$ is isomorphic to $GL^3_{2,k}$. For $p = 2$, Theorem 5.1.1 implies that the zip stratification of $GL_6$-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}'}$ cannot be principally pure.*

## 5.2. **Corollaries of the discrete-fiber theorem.**

**Corollary 5.2.1.** *Let $\mathcal{Z} = (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi^n)$ be a zip datum and set $q := p^n$. Assume $G$ admits an embedding $G \to GL_{N,\mathbf{F}_p}$ defined over $\mathbf{F}_p$. If $q \geq N$, then the zip stratification of $G$ is uniformly principally pure.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 5.1.1, it suffices to consider the case $G = GL_{N,\mathbf{F}_p}$. We may assume that $L$ is the Levi subgroup corresponding to a decomposition $N = N_1 + ... + N_r$, given by diagonal blocks of size $N_1$,...,$N_r$. We identify a character of $L$ with a tuple $(a_1, ..., a_r) \in \mathbf{Z}^r$. Consider the character $\lambda = (r, r-1, ..., 1)$. This character is orbitally $q$-close if and only if $q \geq r$. Hence the result. □

The shortcoming of Theorem 4.2.5 is that the set of ample, orbitally $q$-close characters could be empty for small values of $p$. However, we have the following result:

**Corollary 5.2.2.** *Let $(G, \mu)$ be a maximal-type cocharacter datum over $\mathbf{F}_p$, and let $\chi$ be a character of maximal-type of $L$ (Definition 1.4.4). Then there exists $N \geq 1$ such that the line bundle $\mathscr{D}_\chi^{\otimes N}$ admits characteristic sections on all zip strata of $G$. In particular, the zip stratification of $G$-$\mathtt{Zip}^\mu$ is uniformly principally pure.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Theorem 5.1.1 and Corollary 4.2.6. □

**Corollary 5.2.3.** *Let $(G, \mu)$ be a cocharacter datum over $\mathbf{F}_p$ and assume $\mu$ is minuscule. Then for $p > 2$, the zip stratification of $G$-$\mathtt{Zip}^\mu$ is uniformally principally pure.*

*Proof.* Consider the adjoint representation $\mathrm{Ad} : G \to GL(\mathfrak{g})$ where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of $G$. Since $\mu$ is minuscule, the cocharacter $\mathrm{Ad} \circ \mu : \mathbf{G}_{m,k} \to GL(\mathfrak{g})_k$ has only weights $-1, 0, 1$. Hence we reduce to the case of $GL(V)$ (for a finite-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_p$-vector space $V$) and a zip datum whose attached parabolic $P$ is the stabilizer of a flag $0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V$. It is clear that $P$ admits an ample 3-close character, which proves the result. □

5.3. **Functoriality of zip flags.** We now consider the following setting: We have two zip data $\mathcal{Z} = (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi^n)$ and $\mathcal{Z}' = (G', P', L', Q', M', \varphi^n)$ of exponent $n$. We choose parabolic subgroups $P_0 \subset P$ and $P'_0 \subset P'$, together with Levi subgroups $L_0 \subset P_0$ and $L'_0 \subset P'_0$ satisfying $L_0 \subset L$ and $L'_0 \subset L'$. We denote by $U_0$ and $U'_0$ the unipotent radicals of $P_0$ and $P'_0$ respectively.

We assume that $f : G \to G'$ is a morphism of zip data $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}'$, satisfying the following additional conditions:

(i) $f(L_0) \subset L'_0$
(ii) $f(U_0) \subset U'_0$.

For example, these conditions are satisfied if $(P_0, L_0)$ and $(P'_0, L'_0)$ are attached to cocharacters $\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_{m,k} \to G_k$ and $\mu'_0 = f \circ \mu_0$ respectively (see section 1.2). The map $f$ sends the subgroup $E_{\mathcal{Z}} \subset G_k \times G_k$ to $E_{\mathcal{Z}'} \subset G'_k \times G'_k$. Since $f(P_0) \subset P'_0$, we also have $f(\hat{E}^{\mathcal{Z}}_{P_0}) \subset \hat{E}^{\mathcal{Z}'}_{P'_0}$. Furthermore, we define zip data $\mathcal{Z}_0 := (G, P_0, L_0, Q_0, M_0, \varphi^n)$ and $\mathcal{Z}'_0 := (G', P'_0, L'_0, Q'_0, M'_0, \varphi^n)$ as in (3.1.1). It follows from our assumptions that $f$ induces a morphism of zip data $\mathcal{Z}_0 \to \mathcal{Z}'_0$. It induces therefore a commutative diagram of $k$-stacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}} & G'\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}'_0} \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \Psi^{\mathcal{Z}}_{P_0}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \Psi^{\mathcal{Z}}_{P_0}} \\
G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{P_0}} & G'\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z}',P'_0)} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{P_0}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{P'_0}} \\
G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & G'\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}'}
\end{array}$$

Since the above diagram commutes, the map $\tilde{f}_{P_0}$ induces a map between the fibers of $\pi_{P_0}$ and $\pi_{P'_0}$. These fibers can be identified with $P/P_0$ and $P'/P'_0$ respectively, and the map $f_{P_0}$ then identifies with the natural map $P/P_0 \to P'/P'_0$ induced by $f$.

## 6. Flag spaces and stratifications

6.1. **Reduction of cocharacter data.** Let $\mathcal{C} := (\mathbb{G}, \mu)$ be a cocharacter datum over $\mathbf{Q}$. For almost all $p$, one can extend $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ to a reductive group $\mathcal{G}$ over $\mathbf{Z}_p$, unique up to isomorphism (we say that $\mathbb{G}$ has good reduction at $p$). The conjugacy class of $\mu$ then extends to a conjugacy class of cocharacters of $\mathcal{G}$. Taking the special fiber over $\mathbf{F}_p$, we obtain a cocharacter datum $(G, \mu)$ over $\mathbf{F}_p$ whose isomorphism class is independant of all choices.

The cocharater $\mu$ gives rise to a Levi subgroup $\mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{C}}$, defined as the stabilizer of $\mu$ and a parabolic subgroup $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{C}}$, defined by the condition

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{P}) = \bigoplus_{n>0} \mathfrak{g}_n$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_n$ is the subspace of $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{C}})$ where $\mathbf{G}_{m,\mathbf{C}}$ acts by the character $x \mapsto x^n$. Then if $\mathbb{G}$ has good reduction at $p$, we may extend $\mathbb{P}$ uniquely to a parabolic subgroup $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G}$ over $\mathbf{Z}_p$ and we define $P := \mathcal{P} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{F}_p$. By the same arguments, we may extend $\mathbb{L}$ to a Levi of $\mathcal{G}$, and define $L := \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{F}_p$. Then we may identify the character spaces $X^*(\mathbb{L}) = X^*(L)$, and the root datum of $\mathbb{G}$ and $G$.

6.2. **Shimura varieties of Hodge-type.** As a special case, we start from a Shimura datum $(\mathbb{G}, X)$ of Hodge type with reflex field $E$, given by a reductive group over $\mathbf{Q}$ and a $\mathbb{G}(\mathbf{R})$-conjugacy class of morphisms $\mathbf{S} \to \mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{R}}$. We define $\mu : \mathbf{G}_{m,\mathbf{C}} \to \mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{C}}$ as $\mu(z) = h_{\mathbf{C}}(z, 1)$ through the identification $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{C}} \simeq \mathbf{G}_{m,\mathbf{C}} \times \mathbf{G}_{m,\mathbf{C}}$. We may then reduce the cocharacter datum $(\mathbb{G}, \mu)$ modulo $p$ for almost all $p$, as explained in section 6.1. For a sufficiently small compact open subgroup $K \subset G(\mathbf{A}_f)$, the Shimura variety $\mathbb{S}_K := Sh_K(\mathbb{G}, X)$ is defined over the number field $E$ and has a smooth integral model $\mathcal{S}_K$ over $\mathcal{O}_E\left[\frac{1}{m}\right]$ for some $m \geq 1$, by results of Vasiu in [Vas99] and Kisin in [Kis10]. For a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of good reduction, we denote by $S_K$ the special fiber of $\mathcal{S}_K$. There exists a universal abelian scheme obtained by pull-back from the Siegel case:

$$f : \mathscr{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_K. \tag{6.2.1}$$

Denote by $A$ the special fiber of $\mathscr{A}$. The de Rham cohomology $H^1_{dR}(A/S_K)$ together with its Hodge filtration and conjugate filtration defines naturally a $G$-zip over $S_K$ (see Theorem 2.4.1 in [Zha]). This induces a morphism of stacks

$$\zeta : S_K \longrightarrow G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mu}.$$

The fibers of $\zeta$ are called the Ekedahl-Oort strata of $S_K$. In the usual PEL-setting, they correspond to isomorphism classes of BT1's with additional PEL-structure. In PEL cases, Viehmann and Wedhorn proved in [VW13] that $\zeta$ is faithfully flat and surjective. In his thesis [Zha], Zhang showed that the map $\zeta$ is smooth. As a consequence, this shows that the Ekedahl-Oort strata define indeed a stratification of $S_K$, i.e that the closure of a stratum is a union of strata. Finally, Wortmann showed that the $\mu$-ordinary stratum, attached to the unique dense point of the underlying topological space of $G$-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mu}$, coincides with the open Newton stratum (Theorem 1.1 in [Wor]). We define the Hodge line bundle of $S_K$ as the sheaf

$$\omega := f_*(\det(\Omega_{\mathscr{A}/S_K})). \tag{6.2.2}$$

It is ample on $\mathcal{S}_K$. For a character $\lambda \in X^*(\mathbb{L})$, there is an automorphic line bundle $\mathcal{V}_\lambda$ on $\mathcal{S}_K$ attached to $\lambda$ and its special fiber coincides with the line bundle $\zeta^*(\mathcal{D}_\lambda)$. Furthermore, there exists a character $\lambda_\omega \in X^*(\mathbb{L})$ such that $\omega = \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_\omega}$.

6.3. **Flag spaces.** We fix a Borel pair $(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{T})$ over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{P}$. We also fix a parabolic subgroup $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{P}_0 \subset \mathbb{P}$. Again, there is a unique $\mathbf{Z}_p$-model $\mathcal{P}_0$ (parabolic subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$) of $\mathbb{P}_0$, and we denote its special fiber by $P_0$. The scheme $\mathcal{S}_K$ carries a universal $\mathcal{P}$-torsor $\mathfrak{P}$ arising from the Hodge filtration of the de Rham cohomology of its universal abelian scheme, as defined in Prop. 5.3.4 of [Mad] (also

see section 2.1.5 in [GK15]). Then the quotient of $\mathfrak{P}$ by the group $\mathcal{P}_0$ defines a smooth $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}_0$-bundle

$$\pi : \mathcal{F}l_K \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_K. \tag{6.3.1}$$

It generalizes the flag space considered by Ekedahl and Van der Geer in [EvdG09] and by the authors in [GK15]. We call $\mathcal{F}l_K$ the flag space attached to the parabolic $\mathbb{P}_0$. We denote the special fiber of $\mathcal{F}l_K$ by $Fl_K$ and its generic fiber by $\mathbb{F}l_K$. As explained in [GK15] (10.3.3), we have a cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Fl_K & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{P_0}} & G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{P_0}} \\ S_K & \xrightarrow[\zeta]{} & G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}} \end{array}$$

A character $\lambda \in X^*(P_0)$ gives naturally rise to a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ on $\mathcal{F}l_K$ (see [Jan03] §5.8). On the special fiber, it can by checked that we have the following formula:

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda = \zeta_{P_0}^*(\mathscr{M}_{P_0,\lambda}). \tag{6.3.2}$$

6.4. **Stratifications.** We define a stratification on $Fl_K$ by pulling back fine flag strata of $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$. Since the morphism $\zeta_{P_0}$ is smooth, this gives indeed a stratification of $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$. Equivalently, we define strata as the fibers of the composition

$$Fl_K \xrightarrow{\zeta_{P_0}} G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{P_0}} G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}. \tag{6.4.1}$$

*Remark* 6.4.1. It would be interesting to construct a counterpart $S^?_{P_0}$ to the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ for the Shimura variety. Note that $\mathcal{Z}_0$ is usually not a zip datum arising from a cocharacter datum of Hodge-type. The properties that should be satisfied by the scheme $S^?_{P_0}$ are the following: There should exist natural morphisms $\Psi^?_{P_0} : Fl_K \to S^?_K$ and $\zeta^? : S^?_{P_0} \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ (i.e $S^?_{P_0}$ should carry a universal $G$-zip of type $\mathcal{Z}_0$). These maps should give rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^?_K & \xrightarrow{\zeta^?} & G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0} \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \Psi^?_{P_0}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \Psi_{P_0}} \\ Fl_K & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{P_0}} & G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^*_{P_0}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{P_0}} \\ S_K & \xrightarrow[\zeta]{} & G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}} \end{array}$$

such that both squares are cartesian, that is

$$Fl_K \simeq S^?_K \times_{G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}} G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}.$$

In particular, we want the map $\Psi^?_{P_0}$ to be an affine bundle with fibers isomorphic to $\mathbf{A}^r$ where $r = \dim(G/P_0)$. This would be an analogue of a Shimura variety for a non-minuscule cocharacter.

There are two ways to parametrize fine flag strata of $Fl_K$. For elements $w \in {}^{I_0}W$, $w' \in W^{J_0}$, we define the corresponding fine flag strata as:

$$Fl_w := \zeta_{P_0}^{-1}\left(Z_{P_0,w}\right)$$
$$Fl'_{w'} := \zeta_{P_0}^{-1}\left(Z'_{P_0,w'}\right)$$

endowed with the reduced structure as locally closed substacks. For $w \in {}^{I_0}W^{J_0}$, we define the coarse flag strata by

$$\mathbf{F}_w := \zeta_{P_0}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{P_0,w}\right).$$

Similarly, for elements $w \in {}^{I}W$, $w' \in W^{J}$, we define Ekedahl-Oort strata of $S_K$ by:

$$S_w := \zeta^{-1}\left(Z_{P,w}\right)$$
$$S'_{w'} := \zeta^{-1}\left(Z'_{P,w'}\right).$$

The following is a simple consequence of the results in sections 2 and 3:

**Proposition 6.4.2.**

*(1) For all $w \in {}^{I_0}W$ and $w' \in W^{J_0}$ the fine flag strata $Fl_w$ and $Fl'_{w'}$ are smooth, of dimension $\ell(w)$ and $\ell(w')$, respectively.*
*(2) The coarse flag stratum $\mathbf{F}_w$ is smooth, of dimension $\ell(w) + \ell(w_{0,J_0}) - \ell(w_{0,I_w}) - \dim(P_0)$, where $I_w := J_0 \cap w^{-1}I_0 w$.*
*(3) The Zariski closure of a fine (resp. coarse) stratum is a union of fine (resp. coarse) strata.*
*(4) The image by $\pi^*_{P_0}$ of a fine stratum is a union of Ekedahl-Oort strata.*
*(5) For $w \in {}^{I_0}W$ one has $\pi^*_{P_0}(Fl_w) = S_w$ and the morphism $\pi : Fl_w \to S_w$ is finite.*
*(6) For $w' \in W^{J_0}$ one has $\pi(Fl'_{w'}) = S'_{w'}$ and the morphism $\pi : Fl'_{w'} \to S'_w$ is finite.*

We now prove Theorem 3 of the introduction, which states that for large $p$, the fine strata $Fl_w$ of the flag space $Fl_K$ are affine and define a uniformly principally pure stratification of the flag space when the prime number $p$ is large enough. Assertion (3) generalizes Proposition 10.5 in [EvdG09] in the Siegel case.

**Theorem 6.4.3.** *There exists an integer $N$ depending on $\mathbb{G}, X$ and $K$ such that for all $p \geq N$, the Shimura variety $Sh_K(\mathbb{G}, X)$ has good reduction at all places $\mathfrak{p}|p$ and the following holds:*

*(1) The fine stratification of $Fl_K$ is uniformly principally pure.*
*(2) If the closure $\overline{S}$ of a fine flag stratum $S \subset Fl_K$ is proper, then $S$ is affine.*

*Proof.* Property (ii) is a simple consequence of Theorem 4.3.3, so it remains to prove property (iii). Choose an $\mathbb{L}_0$-ample character $\eta \in X^*(\mathbb{L}_0)$. Then by definition, the attached sheaf $\mathcal{L}_\eta$ on $\mathbb{F}l_K$ is relatively ample with respect to the morphism $\pi : \mathbb{F}l_K \to Sh_K(G, X)$. Then by standard arguments, there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that the line bundle

$$\mathcal{R} := \pi^*\omega \otimes \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\otimes m} = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_\omega + m\eta} \tag{6.4.2}$$

is ample on $\mathbb{F}l_K$. Then, the line bundle $\mathcal{R}$ is ample also on the special fiber $Fl_K$ at almost all primes of $\mathcal{O}_E$, because ampleness is an open condition on the basis ([Gro61], Chap. III, Theorem 4.7.1). The character $\alpha := \lambda_\omega + m\eta$ is $L_0$-ample and for large prime numbers $p$, it is also $p$-small. Hence there exists an integer $N$ such

that for all primes $p \geq N$, the ample line bundle $\mathcal{R}$ admits characteristic sections on all fine flag strata, again by Theorem 4.3.3. This concludes the proof. □

## 7. Appendix

7.1. **Line bundles on quotient stacks.** We will denote by $k$ an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_p$. If $G$ is a $k$-algebraic group acting on the left on a $k$-variety $X$, we denote by $[G\backslash X]$ the quotient stack. We have a natural map

$$X^*(G) \to \mathrm{Pic}([G\backslash X]), \quad \lambda \mapsto \mathscr{L}(\lambda) \tag{7.1.1}$$

If $\lambda \in X^*(G)$, then a global section $f \in H^0([G\backslash X], \mathscr{L}(\lambda))$ identifies with a function $f : X \to \mathbf{A}^1$ satisfying the relation

$$f(g \cdot x) = \lambda(g)^{-1} f(x). \tag{7.1.2}$$

7.2. **Morphisms of quotient stacks.** Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of schemes and let $G, H$ be group schemes such that $G$ acts on $X$ and $H$ acts on $Y$. Assume that there is a morphism of schemes: $\alpha : G \times X \to H$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times X & \xrightarrow{(\alpha, f)} & H \times Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow[f]{} & Y \end{array}$$

The vertical maps are the natural maps given by the group actions. The commutativity of this diagram is equivalent to the following identity:

$$f(g \cdot x) = \alpha(g, x) \cdot f(x), \quad g \in G,\ x \in X. \tag{7.2.1}$$

Assume further that $\alpha$ satisfies the cocycle condition:

$$\alpha(gg', x) = \alpha(g, g' \cdot x)\alpha(g', x) \quad g, g' \in G,\ x \in X. \tag{7.2.2}$$

Then $\alpha$ and $f$ induce a morphism between the groupoids attached to $(G, X)$ and $(H, Y)$. This morphism of groupoids induces in turn a map of stacks $\tilde{f} : [G\backslash X] \to [H\backslash Y]$, such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [G\backslash X] & \xrightarrow[\tilde{f}]{} & [H\backslash Y] \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the obvious projections. Recall that the $k$-valued points of the quotient stack $[G\backslash X]$ identify with the set of $G$-orbits in $X$. For a stack $\mathcal{X}$, we denote by $|\mathcal{X}|$ its underlying topological space. On topological spaces, the map $\tilde{f}$ above sends the $G$-orbit of $x$ to the $H$-orbit of $f(x)$. Note that this map is well-defined by equation (7.2.1).

## References


[ABD+66] Michael Artin, Jean-Etienne Bertin, Michel Demazure, Alexander Grothendieck, Pierre Gabriel, Michel Raynaud, and Jean-Pierre Serre, *Schémas en groupes*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1963/1966.

[Del77] P. Deligne, *Variétés de Shimura: interprétation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques*, Automorphic Forms, representations, and L-Functions (A. Borel and W. Casselman, eds.), Proc. Symp. Pure Math., vol. 33, Amer. Math. Soc., Corvallis, OR, USA, July 11 - August 5 1977, pp. 247–289.

[EvdG09] T. Ekedahl and G. van der Geer, *Cycle classes of the E-O stratification on the moduli of abelian varieties*, Algebra, arithmetic and geometry (Penn State U.) (Y. Tschinkel and Y. Zarhin, eds.), vol. 269, Progress in Math., June 2009, pp. 567–636.

[GK15] W. Goldring and J.-S. Koskivirta, *Strata Hasse invariants, Hecke algebras and Galois representations*, arXiv:1507.05032 (2015), submitted.

[Gro61] A. Grothendieck, EGA III.1*: Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents*, Publ. Math. IHÉS, vol. 11, Springer-Verlag, 1961.

[Jan03] J. Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups*, 2nd ed., Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 107, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[Kis10] M. Kisin, *Integral models for Shimura varieties of abelian type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 4, 967–1012.

[Kos14] J.-S. Koskivirta, *Sections of the Hodge bundle over Ekedahl-Oort strata of Shimura varieties of Hodge type*, Journal of Algebra **449** (2014), 446–459.

[KW14] J.-S. Koskivirta and T. Wedhorn, *Generalized Hasse invariants for Shimura varieties of Hodge type*, arXiv:1406.2178 (2014).

[Mad] K. Madapusi, *Toroidal compactifications of integral models of Shimura varieties of Hodge type*, Preprint.

[Moo01] Ben Moonen, *Group schemes with additional structures and Weyl group cosets*, Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999), Progr. Math., vol. 195, 2001, pp. 255–298.

[MW04] B. Moonen and T. Wedhorn, *Discrete invariants of varieties in positive characteristic*, IMRN **72** (2004), 3855–3903.

[PWZ11] R. Pink, T. Wedhorn, and P. Ziegler, *Algebraic zip data*, Doc. Math. **16** (2011), 253–300.

[PWZ15] ———, *F-zips with additional structure*, Pacific J. Math. **274** (2015), 183–236.

[Vas99] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type*, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), 401–518.

[VW13] E. Viehmann and T. Wedhorn, *Ekedahl-Oort and Newton strata for Shimura varieties of PEL type*, Math. Ann. **356** (2013), no. 4, 1493–1550.

[Wed] T. Wedhorn, *Bruhat strata and F-zips with additional structures*, preprint 2013, 31 pages, arXiv:1302.6715, to appear in Münster Journal of Mathematics.

[Wor] D. Wortmann, *The $\mu$-ordinary locus for Shimura varieties of Hodge type*, preprint 2013, arXiv:1310.6444.

[Zha] C. Zhang, *Ekedahl-Oort strata for good reductions of Shimura varieties of Hodge type*, Preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.4869v2.